### Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Audit Report

**Adult Prisons & Jails**

- **Interim** ☐
- **Final** ☒

**Date of Report** 09/07/2018

### Auditor Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Email</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maren Arbach</td>
<td><a href="mailto:fcc@midco.net">fcc@midco.net</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Company Name:** Fidelity Correctional Consulting, LLC

**Mailing Address:** PO Box 7203  
City, State, Zip: Bismarck, ND 58507

**Telephone:** 701-214-8660  
Date of Facility Visit: June 12 through June 14, 2018

### Agency Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Agency</th>
<th>CoreCivic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Governing Authority or Parent Agency (If Applicable):**  
Click or tap here to enter text.

**Physical Address:** 10 Burton Hills Rd  
City, State, Zip: Nashville TN 37215

**Mailing Address:**  
City, State, Zip:  
Date of Facility Visit: June 12 through June 14, 2018

**Telephone:** 615-263-3000

**Is Agency accredited by any organization?**  
- ☐ Yes  
- ☒ No

**The Agency Is:**  
- ☐ Military  
- ☒ Private for Profit  
- ☐ Private not for Profit  
- ☐ Municipal  
- ☐ County  
- ☐ State  
- ☐ Federal

**Agency mission:** We help government better the public good through:

- CoreCivic Safety- We operate safe, secure facilities that provide high quality services and effective reentry programs that enhance public safety.
- CoreCivic Community- We deliver proven and innovative practices in settings that help people obtain employment, successfully reintegrate into society and keep communities safe.
- CoreCivic Properties- We offer innovative and flexible real estate solutions that provide value to government and the people they serve.

**Agency Website with PREA Information:**  

### Agency Chief Executive Officer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Damon Hininger</td>
<td>President, CEO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Email:** damon.hininger@corecivic.com  
**Telephone:** 615-263-3301

### Agency-Wide PREA Coordinator
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name: Eric Pierson</th>
<th>Title: Senior Director PREA Compliance and Programs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:eric.pierson@corecivic.com">eric.pierson@corecivic.com</a></td>
<td>Telephone: 615-263-6915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PREA Coordinator Reports to: Steve Conry, Vice President Operations Administration</td>
<td>Number of Compliance Managers who report to the PREA Coordinator: 0 Directly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Facility Information

Name of Facility: Crossroads Correctional Center

Physical Address: 50 Crossroads Drive Shelby, MT 59474

Mailing Address (if different than above): [Click or tap here to enter text.]

Telephone Number: 406-434-7055

- ☒ Private for profit
- ☐ Private not for profit
- ☐ Military
- ☐ Municipal
- ☐ County
- ☐ State
- ☐ Federal
- ☐ Jail
- ☒ Prison

Facility Mission: The mission and philosophy of Crossroads Correctional Center is to:
- Protect society and meet judicial and statutory obligations by ensuring safe and secure detention and control of all persons ordered into custody;
- Provide for the health and well-being of all persons in custody in a humane environment which is respectful of human rights and needs; and
- Prepare persons in custody for their return to the community as more self-supporting, contributing members of society.


### Warden/Superintendent

Name: Pat Mctighe | Title: Warden

Email: pat.mctighe@corecivic.com | Telephone: 406-434-7401

### Facility PREA Compliance Manager

Name: Joe Henson | Title: Chief of Unit Management

Email: joe.henson@corecivic.com | Telephone: 406-434-7453

### Facility Health Service Administrator

Name: Amber Massey | Title: Health Services Administrator

Email: amber.massey@corecivic.com | Telephone: 406-434-7430

### Facility Characteristics
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designated Facility Capacity: 712</th>
<th>Current Population of Facility: 676</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of inmates admitted to facility during the past 12 months</td>
<td>1285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of inmates admitted to facility during the past 12 months whose length of stay in the facility was for 30 days or more:</td>
<td>727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of inmates admitted to facility during the past 12 months whose length of stay in the facility was for 72 hours or more:</td>
<td>1241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of inmates on date of audit who were admitted to facility prior to August 20, 2012:</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age Range of Population:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youthful Inmates Under 18:</td>
<td>Adults: 18-80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are youthful inmates housed separately from the adult population?</td>
<td>☒  No  ☐ Yes  ☐ NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of youthful inmates housed at this facility during the past 12 months:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average length of stay or time under supervision:</td>
<td>348.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility security level/inmate custody levels:</td>
<td>Minimum, Medium, Close, Maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of staff currently employed by the facility who may have contact with inmates:</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of staff hired by the facility during the past 12 months who may have contact with inmates:</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of contracts in the past 12 months for services with contractors who may have contact with inmates:</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Physical Plant**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Buildings:</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Single Cell Housing Units:</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Multiple Occupancy Cell Housing Units:</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Open Bay/Dorm Housing Units:</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Segregation Cells (Administrative and Disciplinary):</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description of any video or electronic monitoring technology (including any relevant information about where cameras are placed, where the control room is, retention of video, etc.): Milestone Camera System

**Medical**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Medical Facility:</th>
<th>Infirmary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forensic sexual assault medical exams are conducted at:</td>
<td>Marias Medical Center</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Other**

| Number of volunteers and individual contractors, who may have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility: | 385 |
| Number of investigators the agency currently employs to investigate allegations of sexual abuse: | 1 |
Audit Findings

Audit Narrative

The auditor’s description of the audit methodology should include a detailed description of the following processes during the pre-onsite audit, onsite audit, and post-audit phases: documents and files reviewed, discussions and types of interviews conducted, number of days spent on-site, observations made during the site-review, and a detailed description of any follow-up work conducted during the post-audit phase. The narrative should describe the techniques the auditor used to sample documentation and select interviewees, and the auditor’s process for the site review.

CoreCivic contracted for a PREA audit to be conducted of Crossroads Correctional Center (CCC) male prison in Shelby, Montana. This audit was conducted by dual-certified PREA auditor Maren Arbach. The onsite portion of the audit occurred June 12th through June 14th, 2018. CoreCivic is a private correctional agency that is contracted to operate correctional facilities across the county. CCC houses inmates for the Montana Department of Corrections and pre-sentence inmates for the US Marshals. According to CoreCivic’s latest annual newsletter, the agency operates 54 safety facilities and 30 community facilities (includes leased, managed, and owned) in 21 states. The Crossroads Correctional Center had its first audit in 2015.

Approximately six weeks prior to the onsite portion of the audit, audit notices, in English and Spanish, were posted in all living units, at the facility entrance, in visitation areas, in the medical area, in the mental health area, and other common areas. The notices provided auditor contact information in which inmates, staff, and visitors could utilize to write confidentially regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment at the facility. One letter was received by the auditor but the concerns expressed were outside the scope of the PREA audit.

Pre-audit documentation, the Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ), and additional supporting documentation was provided via flash drive. The pre-audit documentation was received in an organized manner with standard by standard folders distinguishing primary and secondary information. Correspondence between the auditor, the PREA Coordinator, and the PREA Compliance Manager occurred throughout the pre-audit phase.

On June 12, 2018, the auditor arrived at Crossroads Correctional Center to initiate the onsite portion of the audit. A brief meeting was held with facility leadership in which introductions were made and the audit process and methodology were discussed. Present for the meeting were: Eric Pierson, PREA Coordinator; Assistant Warden and Warden Designee Deborah Powell; Joe Henson, PREA Compliance Manager; and other department heads and support staff.

Following the meeting, the auditor conducted the site review of the facility accompanied by facility leadership. The site review covered the entire facility which consisted of all inmate living areas and common areas, recreation areas, kitchen and dining hall, visitation, office areas, the control rooms, education, medical, the library, the chaplain’s area, the vocational area, maintenance, and laundry. PREA signage was observed throughout the facility ensuring reporting information was visible for all inmates, staff, and visitors. In addition, the facility has painted several murals relating to PREA within the facility in higher traffic areas.

Following the site review, interviews were conducted as follows:
1) 24 randomly selected inmate interviews
2) Nine targeted inmate interviews
3) Seven randomly selected staff interviews
4) 15 specialized staff interviews

Prior to arrival, the auditor requested lists of staff and inmates to include: full inmate roster (alphabetically and by living unit), full staff roster, full volunteer roster, full contractor roster, roster of inmates with physical disabilities, and roster of inmates who are limited English proficient. All information was provided promptly and in an organized fashion.

At the end of the onsite audit, an exit briefing was held with facility leadership and the PREA Coordinator. Preliminary findings and observations, the process of the post-audit phase, the issuance of the Interim Report, the corrective action period, and the Final Report were discussed.

Facility Characteristics

The auditor's description of the audited facility should include details about the facility type, demographics and size of the inmate, resident or detainee population, numbers and type of staff positions, configuration and layout of the facility, numbers of housing units, description of housing units including any special housing units, a description of programs and services, including food service and recreation. The auditor should describe how these details are relevant to PREA implementation and compliance.

Crossroads Correctional Center is located in Shelby, Montana. The facility is a 712 bed facility owned and operated by CoreCivic and is contracted by the Montana Department of Corrections and the US Marshals. The facility currently employs 142 staff. At the time of the onsite audit, the facility was experiencing a shortage in officers. Officers from another CoreCivic facility in Mississippi were residing in Shelby, MT and working at the facility to assist with the staffing shortage.

Crossroads Correctional Center holds visitation for the Montana Department of Corrections inmates on Saturday and Sunday. Legal visits are allowed Monday through Friday.

The facility operates under the unit management concept and is made up of single cell housing units, multiple occupancy cell units, an open bay dorm area, and a segregation unit. In E Wing, Delta Pod, the facility runs a service dog training program. The inmates are trained to work with the dogs and teach them 30 different commands.

There are two gyms within the facility for recreation purposes. These are referred to as the large gym and small gym. In these areas, the inmates are afforded the opportunity to get their haircut in the barber shop, work on hobby crafts, lift weights, utilize the music room, or other activities. There is an outdoor track outside of the small gym which is supervised by staff.

The facility contracts their food service. One security staff and two contract kitchen staff supervise the inmates who work in the kitchen. During the morning, there are approximately 35 inmates working and approximately 18-22 in the afternoon.

The facility has a medical department to include a dental hygienist and dentist who are onsite 30 hours per week. They utilize a contractor to provide the optometry services.

The education department consists of five classrooms. The inmates are not required to complete their GED but are given incentives if they enroll. These incentives could include extra recreation and an opportunity to have dinner with their family at their graduation. The facility offers training in carpentry and braille.
Summary of Audit Findings

The summary should include the number of standards exceeded, number of standards met, and number of standards not met, along with a list of each of the standards in each category. If relevant, provide a summarized description of the corrective action plan, including deficiencies observed, recommendations made, actions taken by the agency, relevant timelines, and methods used by the auditor to reassess compliance.

Auditor Note: No standard should be found to be “Not Applicable” or “NA”. A compliance determination must be made for each standard.

Number of Standards Exceeded: 12
115.13, 115.15, 115.17, 115.18, 115.31, 115.33, 115.34, 115.43, 115.67, 115.68, 115.81, 115.88

Number of Standards Met: 33

Number of Standards Not Met: 0

Summary of Corrective Action (if any)
Not applicable

PREVENTION PLANNING

Standard 115.11: Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by The Auditor to Complete the Report

115.11 (a)

- Does the agency have a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the written policy outline the agency’s approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.11 (b)

- Has the agency employed or designated an agency-wide PREA Coordinator? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Is the PREA Coordinator position in the upper-level of the agency hierarchy? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the PREA Coordinator have sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its facilities?
  ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.11 (c)

- If this agency operates more than one facility, has each facility designated a PREA compliance manager? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- Does the PREA compliance manager have sufficient time and authority to coordinate the facility’s efforts to comply with the PREA standards? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.)
  ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:

- CoreCivic Sexual Abuse Prevention and Response policy 14-2 *(Effective 11/28/2017)*
- Sexual Assault Response policy 13-79 *(Effective 12/23/2013)*
- CoreCivic Inmate Handbook *(Effective 05/15/2018)*
- State of Montana Department of Corrections Inmate Disciplinary Rule Infractions *February 2014*
- Pre-Audit Questionnaire
- Organizational Charts; agency and facility
- PREA coordinator job description

Findings:
The facility utilizes the CoreCivic Sexual Abuse Prevention and Response policy 14-2 (Policy 14-2) to guide their PREA compliance efforts.

Policy 14-2 covers the facility’s zero tolerance policy on page one. This portion indicates CoreCivic has mandated a zero tolerance towards all forms of sexual abuse and harassment. It furthers expands to say this will include inmate/detainee on inmate/detainee sexual abuse or harassment as well as employee on inmate/detainee sexual abuse and harassment.

Policy 14-2 covers the prohibited acts on pages three and four under section 14-2.3 Definitions. The definitions are taken directly from the standards for prisons and jails.

The CoreCivic Inmate Handbook covers the Prison Rape Elimination Act on page two, directly after the introduction section. This section covers what the law is and how to make a report both inside and outside of the facility. In addition, on page 10 of the inmate handbook, the CoreCivic PREA handout that is given to the inmates at intake, is included in the handbook. Directly following the handout, a copy of Policy 14-2 is included in the handbook as well as a copy of the Montana State Prison PREA policy.

Crossroads Correctional Center follows the Disciplinary Rule Infraction list as set out by the Montana Department of Corrections.

CoreCivic employs an upper-level, agency-wide PREA coordinator. Mr. Eric Pierson is the PREA Coordinator whose official title is Senior Director, PREA Programs and Compliance. This position reports directly to the Vice President of CoreCivic, which indicates sufficient authority to oversee the PREA efforts.

Mr. Pierson reported he has sufficient time and authority to develop and oversee the agency PREA compliance efforts. Mr. Pierson completed his PREA auditor certification in February 2015 which enables him to thoroughly understand the standards and the guidance from the PREA Resource Center.

Mr. Pierson works with each facility to address any compliance concerns that surface as well as schedules and helps to prepare for each PREA audit. In addition, Mr. Pierson generally attends the onsite portion of the facility audits on behalf of the agency. Mr. Pierson was in attendance during the onsite portion of the audit of this facility.

Crossroads Correctional Center has appointed Chief of Unit Management Joseph Henson as the PREA Compliance Manager for the facility. According to the Crossroads Correctional Center organizational chart, the position of Chief of Unit Management reports to the Assistant Warden. The PREA Compliance Managers within the facilities report indirectly to Mr. Pierson.

Corrective Action:
None required

### Standard 115.12: Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates

**All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report**

**115.12 (a)**

- If this agency is public and it contracts for the confinement of its inmates with private agencies or other entities including other government agencies, has the agency included the entity’s obligation to comply with the PREA standards in any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates.)  ☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☒ NA

**115.12 (b)**

- Does any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012 provide for agency contract monitoring to ensure that the contractor is complying with the PREA standards? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates OR the response to 115.12(a)-1 is "NO".)  ☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☒ NA

### Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- ☐ **Exceeds Standard** *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*
- ☐ **Meets Standard** *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*
- ☐ **Does Not Meet Standard** *(Requires Corrective Action)*
- ☒ **Not Applicable**

### Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

CoreCivic is a private corporation who would be the applicable agency for the purpose of this audit. They do not contract with any agencies to confine their inmates.
Standard 115.13: Supervision and monitoring

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.13 (a)

- Does the agency ensure that each facility has developed a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect inmates against sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency ensure that each facility has documented a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect inmates against sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency ensure that each facility’s staffing plan takes into consideration the generally accepted detention and correctional practices in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency ensure that each facility’s staffing plan takes into consideration any judicial findings of inadequacy in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency ensure that each facility’s staffing plan takes into consideration any findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency ensure that each facility’s staffing plan takes into consideration any findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency ensure that each facility’s staffing plan takes into consideration all components of the facility’s physical plant (including “blind-spots” or areas where staff or inmates may be isolated) in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency ensure that each facility’s staffing plan takes into consideration the composition of the inmate population in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency ensure that each facility’s staffing plan takes into consideration the number and placement of supervisory staff in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency ensure that each facility’s staffing plan takes into consideration the institution programs occurring on a particular shift in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- Does the agency ensure that each facility’s staffing plan takes into consideration any applicable
State or local laws, regulations, or standards in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency ensure that each facility’s staffing plan takes into consideration the prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency ensure that each facility’s staffing plan takes into consideration any other relevant factors in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.13 (b)

- In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, does the facility document and justify all deviations from the plan? (N/A if no deviations from staffing plan.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

115.13 (c)

- In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The staffing plan established pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The facility’s deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adherence to the staffing plan? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.13 (d)

- Has the facility/agency implemented a policy and practice of having intermediate-level or higher-level supervisors conduct and document unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Is this policy and practice implemented for night shifts as well as day shifts? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the facility/agency have a policy prohibiting staff from alerting other staff members that these supervisory rounds are occurring, unless such announcement is related to the legitimate operational functions of the facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☒ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*
☐ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:

- CoreCivic Sexual Abuse Prevention and Response policy 14-2 *(Effective 11/28/2017)*
- Annual PREA Staffing Plan Assessment
- Shift Reports
- Logs of Unannounced PREA Rounds
- Interviews with facility supervisors
- Interview with PREA Compliance Manager
- Interview with Assistant Warden (Warden’s Designee)
- Annual PREA Staffing Plan Assessment

Findings:

115.13(a)

Policy 14-2 discusses the general provisions for staffing plan development on pages eight and nine section D: Staffing. Each of the eleven provisions from the standard are listed within the policy.

According to the pre-audit questionnaire, the facility’s average daily number of inmates was 689.81 and this number was used to develop their staffing plan.

115.13(b)

This substandard is not applicable as the facility has not had any instances of non-compliance with the staffing plan.

As part of the pre-audit questionnaire, the facility provided the documentation of staffing plan development for 2017 and 2018. The facility utilizes the Annual PREA Staffing Plan Assessment form which outlines the facility composition, includes a checklist of all items required under this standard, and covers video monitoring placement and replacement/repair. This assessment is completed by the facility PREA Compliance Manager and then reviewed with the Warden. After the Warden reviews the plan, it is submitted to the PREA Coordinator who reviews the plan to see if any policy or procedural changes, physical plant changes, video
monitoring and/or technology changes, or staffing changes are needed. Following the PREA Coordinators review, it is reviewed by the Vice President of Facility Operations of CoreCivic.

115.13(d)

Policy 14-2 discusses the requirements for the conducting of unannounced round on pages nine and ten under section E: Supervision and Monitoring. The policy requires the rounds to be documented as an unannounced round and that they be conducted on all shifts and all areas where inmates/detainees are permitted. The policy also includes the required wording pertaining to prohibiting employees from alerting other employees that supervisory rounds are occurring.

Included in the documentation that came with the pre-audit questionnaire are facility log sheets. Of the three sheets scanned in to the documentation, there are two unannounced PREA rounds conducted. The first is on 02/18/2018 and was conducted by Warden McTighe. The second was on 12/15/2017 at 8:19 am and was conducted by PCM Henson.

During the onsite visit, interviews were conducted with intermediate and higher level facility staff. When asked about the frequency of unannounced rounds, one supervisor indicated completing unannounced rounds at least three times per week. In another interview, staff stated unannounced rounds are conducted daily in every unit. While in the units, the auditor noted there is a paper log within each pod. When a supervisor enters the pod, they sign in to this log and mark it is a PREA round. It was also noted based on observing the administrative staff within the pods and their interactions with the inmates, this is a common practice. The inmates were comfortable approaching and talking to all levels of staff throughout the entire facility.

Corrective Action:

None required

---

Standard 115.14: Youthful inmates

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.14 (a)

- Does the facility place all youthful inmates in housing units that separate them from sight, sound, and physical contact with any adult inmates through use of a shared dayroom or other common space, shower area, or sleeping quarters? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) □ Yes □ No ☒ NA

115.14 (b)
In areas outside of housing units does the agency maintain sight and sound separation between youthful inmates and adult inmates? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

In areas outside of housing units does the agency provide direct staff supervision when youthful inmates and adult inmates have sight, sound, or physical contact? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

115.14 (c)

Does the agency make its best efforts to avoid placing youthful inmates in isolation to comply with this provision? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

Does the agency, while complying with this provision, allow youthful inmates daily large-muscle exercise and legally required special education services, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

Do youthful inmates have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent possible? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☐ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

☒ Not Applicable

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Findings:

Crossroads Correctional Center does not house youthful offenders. This standard is not applicable.

Corrective Action:

None required
Standard 115.15: Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.15 (a)

- Does the facility always refrain from conducting any cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches, except in exigent circumstances or by medical practitioners?
  ☒ Yes  ☐ No

115.15 (b)

- Does the facility always refrain from conducting cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates in non-exigent circumstances? (N/A here for facilities with less than 50 inmates before August 20, 2017.) ☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☒ NA

- Does the facility always refrain from restricting female inmates’ access to regularly available programming or other out-of-cell opportunities in order to comply with this provision? (N/A here for facilities with less than 50 inmates before August 20, 2017.) ☒ Yes  ☐ No  ☒ NA

115.15 (c)

- Does the facility document all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

- Does the facility document all cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

115.15 (d)

- Does the facility implement a policy and practice that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

- Does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an inmate housing unit? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

115.15 (e)

- Does the facility always refrain from searching or physically examining transgender or intersex inmates for the sole purpose of determining the inmate’s genital status? ☒ Yes  ☐ No
- If an inmate’s genital status is unknown, does the facility determine genital status during conversations with the inmate, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.15 (f)

- Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct cross-gender pat down searches in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct searches of transgender and intersex inmates in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☒ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☐ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:

- CoreCivic Sexual Abuse Prevention and Response policy 14-2 *(Effective 11/28/2017)*
- CoreCivic Searches of Inmates/Residents and Various Locations policy 9-5 *(Effective 07/15/2015)*
- PREA Overview Facilitator Guide
- Search Procedures Facilitator Guide
- The Moss Group, Inc. Guidance in Cross-Gender and Transgender Pat Searches Training Course
- CoreCivic 2018 Orientation and Custody Schedule
- Randomly Elected Staff Training Logs
- Random Inmate Interviews
- Random Staff Interviews
- Tour Observations
Findings:

115.15(a)

Policy 14-2 page 15 covers the cross-gender searches element of PREA standard 115.15(a). The policy states:

Cross-gender inmate/detainee strip searches shall not be conducted except in exigent circumstances (that is, temporary unforeseen circumstances that require immediate action in order to combat a threat to security or institutional order) or when performed by medical practitioners.

Policy 9-5 page 2 covers frisk/pat searches conducted within the facility. The policy states under B/3:

Personnel of either sex may conduct a pat search.

Page 3 covers the strip search section and states under C/5:

The strip search is to be conducted by staff of the same sex as the inmate being searched except in emergency circumstances where a legitimate penalogical interest exists in the performance of the cross gender strip search (e.g. a disturbance/mass shakedown involving a large number of inmates whereby a sufficient number of same sex staff are not available to strip search the inmates prior to placement into a cell.)

115.15(b)

Policy 14-2 page 15 covers the cross-gender searches of female inmates’ element of PREA standard 115.15 (b). The policy states:

Cross-gender inmate/detainee frisk/pat searches of female inmates/detainees by male employees is prohibited except in exigent circumstances (that is, temporary unforeseen circumstances that require immediate action in order to combat a threat to security or institutional order).

However, this substandard is not applicable as the facility only houses male inmates.

115.15(c)

The Strip Search Log was requested from the facility for the date range of 03/01/2018 through 06/17/2018. The auditor selected random pages throughout the log and, by referencing the staff roster, determined no cross-gender unclothed searches occurred during that time frame.

115.15(d)

Policy 14-2 page 16 covers the reasonable privacy expectation of this substandard. The policy states:

Inmates/detainees may shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without non-medical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in
exigent circumstances (that is, temporary unforeseen circumstances that require immediate action in order to combat a threat to security or institutional order) or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell/living quarter checks.

During the onsite portion of the audit, all areas of the facility were toured by the auditor. It was noted that camera placement within the facility seemed to provide for good coverage as well as a reasonable amount of privacy for the inmates. In addition, master control was toured and the auditor requested viewing of cameras that appeared they may have been covering the shower area in S dorm as well as the toilet area in the large gym. Camera review showed that the toilet and shower areas of S dorm have been blacked out on camera so they are not visible. In addition, the angle of the camera in the large gym allows for reasonable privacy for those using the toilet area. In addition, the facility has provided a temporary cover to each cell for the covering of the window while the inmates are using the toilets. Staff are still able to see into the cell for a round but the cover greatly reduces the chance for other unnecessary viewing.

During interviews with 24 randomly selected inmates at the facility, they indicated female staff are fairly consistent about announcing when they enter into the housing units. One stated this occurs about 98% of the time and that it seemed they may have just forgotten when they do not. In addition, when asked if they are ever naked in full view of female staff, every inmate indicated this did not happen. During the onsite portion of the audit, the auditor noted the cross-gender announcement practice is very well institutionalized.

During interviews with 12 staff, each indicated they had received training during orientation on conducting cross-gender pat searches and searches of transgender and intersex inmates.

Training records were requested for 12 randomly selected staff. In each record, completion of search training was documented.

115.15(e)

Policy 14-2 pages 15 and 16 covers the searches of transgender or intersex inmate element of PREA standard 115.15(e). The policy states:

Searches or physical examination of a transgender or intersex inmate/detainee for the sole purpose of determining the inmate/detainee’s genital status is prohibited. If the inmate/detainee’s genital status is unknown, it may be determined during conversations with the inmate/detainee, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner.

The gender of the staff member searching a transgender or intersex inmate/detainee will depend on the specific needs of the individual inmate/detainee and on the operational concerns of the facility. Under most cases, this will be a case-by-case determination that may change over the course of incarceration and should take into consideration the gender expression of the inmate/detainee.
During interviews with facility staff, each was able to articulate that if they would have a transgender inmate, the inmate would be involved in the decision regarding which gender of staff would conduct the unclothed search.

At the time of the onsite portion of the audit, the facility indicated there were no transgender or intersex inmates in custody within the facility. During the tour and interviews, there were no indications of transgender or intersex inmates the facility was not aware of or had not reported.

115.15(f)

Policy 14-2 page 7 covers the specialized training for searches of transgender or intersex inmate element of PREA standard 115.15(f). The policy states:

In addition to the general training provided to all employees, security staff shall receive training in how to conduct cross-gender pat-down searches, and searches of transgender and intersex inmates/detainees, in a manner that is professional, respectful, and the least intrusive method possible while being consistent with security needs.

During the onsite portion of the audit, interviewed staff indicated they received training in conducting searches of transgender and intersex inmates as well as cross-gender pat searches during orientation. In addition, they indicated they received additional training on this topic at in-service training.

Corrective Action:

None required

Standard 115.16: Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.16 (a)

- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are blind or have low vision? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect,
and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have intellectual disabilities? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have psychiatric disabilities? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have speech disabilities? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Other (if “other,” please explain in overall determination notes)? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

- Do such steps include, when necessary, ensuring effective communication with inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

- Do such steps include, when necessary, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

- Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have intellectual disabilities? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

- Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have limited reading skills? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

- Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Are blind or have low vision? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

115.16 (b)

- Does the agency take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to inmates who are limited English proficient? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

- Do these steps include providing interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

115.16 (c)
Does the agency always refrain from relying on inmate interpreters, inmate readers, or other types of inmate assistance except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the inmate’s safety, the performance of first-response duties under §115.64, or the investigation of the inmate’s allegations? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:

- CoreCivic Sexual Abuse Prevention and Response policy 14-2 (Effective 11/28/2017)
- CoreCivic Inmate Handbook in Spanish
- Montana Department of Corrections Notice of Rights for Offenders with Disabilities
- Language Line Contract
- List of Facility Staff who can be utilized for Translation

Findings:

115.16(a)

Policy 14-2 page 14 covers inmates who are limited English proficient, deaf, visually impaired, or otherwise disabled, as well as inmates who have limited reading skills. The policy states:

*Inmates/detainees will be provided education in formats accessible to all inmates/detainees, including those who are limited English proficient, deaf, visually impaired or otherwise disabled, as well as to inmates/detainees who have limited reading skills.*

Crossroads Correctional Center has two units that house inmates/detainees for the US Marshal’s Service. Several of the inmates in these units are limited English proficient. PREA information is available in these units, as well as all other units, in English and Spanish. In addition, the facility has both English and Spanish versions of the inmate handbook available. In addition, as part of the contract to house Montana Department of Corrections inmates, the
inmates are entitled to reasonable accommodations which may include an interpreter or other accommodation as necessary to access the following processes or services:

- Disciplinary Hearing
- Dental
- Grievances
- Parole
- Programs
- Education
- Medical
- Mental Health
- Classification
- Employment
- Any other program, service, or activity.

In order to receive these accommodations, the inmate can either talk to their Unit Counselor, other department staff, the facility DOC Contract Monitor, or send a request directly to the DOC Offender ADA Coordinator.

The facility also has a current contract with LanguageLine Solutions. This line enables staff to dial a 1-800 number, enter in the client id #, select the language they need interpreted, and then utilize the interpreter. Medical staff, unit management staff, and mailroom staff all have instructions for utilizing this service.

As an addition to the PAQ, the facility provided a list of 12 facility staff who they could also utilize as interpreters. The languages known by staff include, Spanish, Blackfeet, Italian, German, French, Dutch, Sign Language, Filipino, and Korean.

During the onsite portion of the audit, interviews were conducted with two limited English proficient inmates, one physically disabled inmate, and one cognitively disabled inmate. In each of these interviews, the inmates indicated an understanding of what PREA was and how to get help within the facility if something were to happen to them. The two limited English proficient inmates reported the staff talked to them and read them the PREA information to ensure they understood. Both inmates indicated they speak enough English to get anything they need from staff. The physically disabled inmate is legally blind. He also reported that staff read the PREA information to him to ensure he understood the program.

115.16(b)

Policy 14-2 page 14 covers reasonable measures being utilized to assist inmates who are limited English proficient, deaf, visually impaired, or otherwise disabled, as well as to inmates/detainees who have limited reading skills. The policy states:
In the event an inmate/detainee has difficulty understanding provided information and/or procedures outlined in this policy, employees must ensure that such information is effectively communicated orally to such inmates/detainees on an individual basis.

Auxiliary aids that are reasonable, effective and appropriate to the needs of the inmate/detainee shall be provided when simple written or oral communication is not effective.

The facility has a current contract with LanguageLine Solutions. This line enables staff to dial a 1-800 number, enter in the client id #, select the language they need interpreted, and then utilize the interpreter. Medical staff, unit management staff, and mailroom staff all have instructions for utilizing this service.

115.16(c)

Policy 14-2 page 14 covers the use of inmate interpreters. The policy states:

Inmates/detainees will not be relied upon to provide interpretation services, act as readers, or provide other types of communication assistance except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the inmate/detainee’s safety, the performance of first-responder duties, or the investigation of the inmate/detainee’s allegations.

During the onsite audit, interviews were conducted with two limited English proficient inmates. Both inmates indicated they understood the program and that staff were available to assist them if they would need an interpreter. Both also indicated another inmate would not be allowed to interpret for them for anything serious.

During interviews with random staff, all staff indicated another inmate would not be allowed to interpret for an inmate.

Corrective Action:

None required

Standard 115.17: Hiring and promotion decisions

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.17 (a)

- Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community
facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the question immediately above? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the question immediately above? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the question immediately above? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.17 (b)

- Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone, or to enlist the services of any contractor, who may have contact with inmates? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.17 (c)

- Before hiring new employees, who may have contact with inmates, does the agency: perform a criminal background records check? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Before hiring new employees, who may have contact with inmates, does the agency: consistent with Federal, State, and local law, make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.17 (d)

- Does the agency perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.17 (e)

- Does the agency either conduct criminal background records checks at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with inmates or have in place a system for otherwise capturing such information for current employees? ☒ Yes ☐ No
115.17 (f)

- Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.17 (g)

- Does the agency consider material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, grounds for termination? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.17 (h)

- Does the agency provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work? (N/A if providing information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee is prohibited by law.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☒ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☐ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:

- CoreCivic Sexual Abuse Prevention and Response policy 14-2 (Effective 11/28/2017)
Findings:

115.17(a) (e)

Policy 14-2 page 5-6 covers hiring and promotions and background checks. The policy states that to the extent permitted by law, CoreCivic will decline to hire or promote anyone who may have contact with inmates/detainees, and decline to enlist the services of any contractor, who may have contact with inmate/detainees, who (a) has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997); (b) has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, over, or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse; or (c) has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity as outlined above.

When interviewed, the human resources (HR) staff explained the hiring practices as they relate to PREA and was very knowledgeable about the applicable PREA standards. The background checks are run by the Montana Department of Corrections and entered into a spreadsheet for tracking purposes. These background checks are rerun every five years.

115.17(b)

Policy 14-2 page 5 covers hiring and promotion. The policy states:

Any incident of sexual harassment shall be considered in determining whether to hire or promote any individual, or to enlist the services of a contractor who may have contact with inmates/detainees.

HR staff indicated that when there is a promotion, demotion, or transfer, the facility runs an additional check on the staff person/potential staff person looking for any incidents of sexual harassment or sexual abuse.

The auditor reviewed the file of the staff person who was most recently promoted. All appropriate documentation was included in the file. Per policy, every staff will complete the “Self-Declaration of Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment” form as part of the promotion process. In addition, at the time of the audit, the facility was utilizing staff from a CoreCivic facility in Missouri. Files were reviewed for some of these staff and all documentation was accounted for.

115.17(c)

Policy 14-2 page 5 covers background checks. The policy states:

Before hiring new employees who may have contact with inmates/detainees, CoreCivic shall:
i. Perform a criminal background records check; and

ii. Consistent with federal, state, and local law make its best effort to contact prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse as defined by this policy.

The questionnaire indicates the facility hired 46 staff in the past 12 months. Of these 46 staff, background checks were conducted on 100%. The facility utilizes a Background Investigation Authorization Form. HR staff explained to the auditor this form is required due to Montana Labor Laws. This authorization allows CoreCivic staff to run “secure consumer reports” on the authorizing staff. In addition, the potential staff complete a Self-Declaration of Sexual Abuse/Sexual Assault form, a Self-Declaration of Domestic Violence Conviction form, a Verification of Former Employment form, a Questionnaire for Public Trust Position form, and, if necessary, a PREA Questionnaire for Prior Institutional Employers.

HR staff indicated if the potential staff member has any sexual abuse or sexual harassment terminations on their record, the facility will not hire them.

115.17(d)

Policy 14-2 page 5 covers background checks. The policy states:

*CoreCivic shall also perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any unescorted contractor who may have contact with inmates/detainees.*

CCC has not entered into any contracts within the last twelve months.

115.17(f)

Policy 14-2 page 5 covers the self-declaration requirement. The agency uses the Self-Declaration of Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment form to ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates about previous misconduct described in provision (a) of this standard. The form cites the three required questions about previous misconduct. The HR staff asserted that it is completed prior to hire as well as annually by current employees and is maintained in the personnel file.

115.17 (g) (h)

Policy 14-2 pages 5-6 covers the provisions of this substandard. Policy states:

To the extent permitted by law, CoreCivic may decline to hire or promote and may terminate employment based on material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information.

Unless prohibited by law, CoreCivic shall provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work.
HR Staff indicated they are prepared to provide requested information to other correctional employers as long a signed release accompanies the request.

**Corrective Action:**
None required

**Standard 115.18: Upgrades to facilities and technologies**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

### 115.18 (a)
- If the agency designed or acquired any new facility or planned any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, did the agency consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion to existing facilities since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)
  - ☐ Yes  ☒ No  ☐ NA

### 115.18 (b)
- If the agency installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, did the agency consider how such technology may enhance the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)
  - ☒ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ NA

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

- ☒ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*
- ☐ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*
- ☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

**Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:
Findings:

115.18(a)

Policy 14-2 page 31 covers upgrades to facilities and technologies. The policy states:

*When designing or acquiring any new facility and in planning any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, CoreCivic will consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification on the company’s ability to protect inmates/detainees from sexual abuse. Such considerations shall be documented on form 7-1B PREA Physical Plant Considerations.*

According to the Pre-Audit Questionnaire, there have not been any modifications or expansions to the existing facility since the last PREA audit. On site tour and staff interviews gave no indication of any changes that were not captured on the questionnaire.

115.18(b)

Policy 14-2 page 31 covers upgrades to facilities and technologies. The policy states:

*When installing or updating a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, CoreCivic will consider how such technology may enhance the ability to protect inmates/detainees from sexual abuse. Such considerations shall be documented on form 7-1B PREA Physical Plant Considerations.*

During the onsite portion of the audit, it was evident inmate safety is considered in every discussion regarding potential changes in the facility. The facility has recently requested additional cameras to assist officers with coverage. In reviewing the request and discussing them with the facility staff, it appears the staff are hyper vigilant about potential problem areas within the facility.

Corrective Action:

None required

---

**RESPONSIVE PLANNING**

**Standard 115.21: Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

**115.21 (a)**
If the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, does the agency follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.21 (b)

Is this protocol developmentally appropriate for youth where applicable? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)

☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA

Is this protocol, as appropriate, adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice’s Office on Violence Against Women publication, “A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents,” or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.21 (c)

Does the agency offer all victims of sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiarily or medically appropriate?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Are such examinations performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible?

☒ Yes ☐ No

If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, is the examination performed by other qualified medical practitioners (they must have been specifically trained to conduct sexual assault forensic exams)?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Has the agency documented its efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs?

☒ Yes ☐ No

115.21 (d)

Does the agency attempt to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center?

☒ Yes ☐ No

If a rape crisis center is not available to provide victim advocate services, does the agency make available to provide these services a qualified staff member from a community-based organization, or a qualified agency staff member?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Has the agency documented its efforts to secure services from rape crisis centers?

☒ Yes ☐ No
115.21 (e)
- As requested by the victim, does the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- As requested by the victim, does this person provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.21 (f)
- If the agency itself is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, has the agency requested that the investigating entity follow the requirements of paragraphs (a) through (e) of this section? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal AND administrative sexual abuse investigations.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

115.21 (g)
- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

115.21 (h)
- If the agency uses a qualified agency staff member or a qualified community-based staff member for the purposes of this section, has the individual been screened for appropriateness to serve in this role and received education concerning sexual assault and forensic examination issues in general? [N/A if agency attempts to make a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims per 115.21(d) above.] ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:
- CoreCivic Sexual Abuse Prevention and Response policy 14-2 (Effective 11/28/2017)
Findings:

115.21(a)

The facility conducts administrative investigation of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Criminal allegations are referred to the Montana Department of Corrections (MDOC). There is a MDOC investigator whose office is located within the facility. Beginning on page 2, Sexual Assault Response Policy 13-79 outlines triage and treatment secondary to sexual assault; requiring a uniform evidence protocol. This policy outlines protocol for recent sexual assaults (discovered within 72 of the incident) as well as sexual assaults that are discovered beyond 72 hours after the incident. The uniform evidence protocol that is outlined in Sexual Assault Response Policy 13-79 consists of significant detail regarding physical evidence on the alleged victim, the alleged abuser, and the crime scene; maximizing the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence.

Interviews with random staff revealed an awareness of the uniform evidence protocol and staff knowledge of protecting and preserving physical evidence. The staff was aware they would not collect evidence unless directed to or if the evidence was at risk of being lost. Staff members had been issued a first responder card which they carried on them and many referenced it during their interview.

115.21(b)

The agency indicated that its uniform evidence protocol was adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice’s Office on Violence Against Women publication, “A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents,” or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011. Policy 14-2 cites this provision and Policy 13-79 that outlines the protocol, contains sufficient technical detail to aid responders in obtaining usable physical evidence, to include timing considerations for the collection of evidence, to obtain a forensic exam from certified SAFE/SANE’s, consult medical and mental health staff, to have mental health available during interviews, etc.

115.21(c)

Policy 14-2 page 25 covers access to forensic medical examinations. It states:

The investigating entity shall offer all victims of sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, whether onsite or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiary
or medically appropriate. Such examinations shall be performed by a SAFE or SANE where possible. If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, the examination can be performed by other qualified medical practitioners. The investigating entity shall document its efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs.

It was demonstrated in practice that the agency and facility offer all victims of sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, which are performed at closest available medical facility which provides the service by certified Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs). The facility reported no forensic medical examinations being performed in the PAQ. Investigative file review revealed two cases of sexual abuse. In both cases, the information was reported beyond the 72 hour mark. In one case, there were medical concerns which began after the assault and the inmate was referred to the local hospital.

115.21(d)

Two MOU's were provided; one between Marias Medical Center and Corrections Corporation of America/Crossroads Correctional Center and one between Hi-Line Help for Abused Spouses and CoreCivic/Crossroads Correctional Center. The Marias Medical Center MOU was signed and effective 03/01/200 and automatically renews each year unless terminated. It outlines the medical services available to inmates of the facility. The Hi-Line MOU was signed and effective 01/12/2018 and automatically renews each year unless terminated. Subsection a under agency responsibilities states Hi-Line, upon request, will provide advocacy services to facility inmates.

One inmate who had reported sexual abuse was still at the facility and was interviewed. While the inmate had reported being sexually abused by another inmate, the investigation shows he was involved in a consensual relationship.

115.21(e)

Policy 14-2 page 25 covers access to forensic medical examinations. It states:

As requested by the victim, either victim advocate, a qualified investigating staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member shall accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews and shall provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals.

The facility has processed in place to provide victim advocate services to accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and is offered emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals. This is done through the MOU with Hi-Line. Additional emotional support is provided by facility mental health staff.

115.21(f)

Policy 14-2 page 24 covers this subsection. Crossroads Correctional Center conducts the administrative investigations. They have one staff person who is trained to conduct these
investigations. Just recently, a trained investigator from the MDOC moved into an office within the facility and will be conducting both administrative and criminal investigations.

115.21 (g), (h)

Not applicable

**Corrective Action:**

None required

---

**Standard 115.22: Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.22 (a)

- Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.22 (b)

- Does the agency have a policy and practice in place to ensure that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Has the agency published such policy on its website or, if it does not have one, made the policy available through other means? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency document all such referrals? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.22 (c)

- If a separate entity is responsible for conducting criminal investigations, does such publication describe the responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity? [N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for criminal investigations. See 115.21(a).] ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.22 (d)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

115.22 (e)
- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

☐ **Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)

☒ **Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)

☐ **Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

**Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:

- CoreCivic Sexual Abuse Prevention and Response policy 14-2 (*Effective 11/28/2017*)
- Investigation Files
- Crossroads Correctional Center Website
- MOU with Toole County Department of Public Safety

**Findings:**

115.22(a), (b), (c)

Sexual Abuse Prevention and Response Policy 14-2 (p 23) cites this standard and addresses administrative and criminal investigations; stating that the warden ensures all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment are investigated and referred as needed.

Review of investigative files and other potential report sources supported that all allegations are investigated. This was a well institutionalized part of the facility culture as was articulated by the warden, agency head, other leadership, and random staff members. Repeatedly, it was stated and evidenced that every report or slight suspicion of sexual abuse or sexual harassment was investigated.

The PAQ indicated there were 8 allegations during the 12-month pre-audit reporting period, that all 8 were administratively investigated, and 1 was referred for criminal investigation. The designated facility investigator affirmed that allegations are referred to an agency with legal authority to conduct such investigations; MDOC.
The agency head corroborated the agency’s practice and expectations to ensure that all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment are properly investigated; asserting that is “absolute” and the agency has a 5-1 reporting system they follow.

Review of the Crossroads Correctional Centers website revealed CoreCivic PREA policies. The policy indicates, whenever possible, the facility shall enter into an MOU with an outside investigating agency. While the facility utilizes MDOC to criminal investigate allegations, the facility has have an active MOU with the Toole County Department of Public Safety which indicates they will conduct criminal investigations.

Interviews were conducted with both the facility administrative investigator and the MDOC investigator. Both indicated they are available at all times, even when they are not physically in the facility, to receive a PREA allegation.

115.22(c)

This subsection is covered under policy 14-2, Responsibilities of the Investigating Entity. This policy is posted on the facility website.

115.22(d), (e)

Not applicable.

Corrective Action:

None required

---

**TRAINING AND EDUCATION**

**Standard 115.31: Employee training**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.31 (a)

- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on inmates’ right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the right of inmates and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to avoid inappropriate relationships with inmates? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to communicate effectively and professionally with inmates, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming inmates? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.31 (b)

- Is such training tailored to the gender of the inmates at the employee’s facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Have employees received additional training if reassigned from a facility that houses only male inmates to a facility that houses only female inmates, or vice versa? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.31 (c)

- Have all current employees who may have contact with inmates received such training? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency provide each employee with refresher training every two years to ensure that all employees know the agency’s current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- In years in which an employee does not receive refresher training, does the agency provide refresher information on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.31 (d)

- Does the agency document, through employee signature or electronic verification, that employees understand the training they have received? ☒ Yes ☐ No
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☒ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☐ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:

- CoreCivic Sexual Abuse Prevention and Response policy 14-2 *(Effective 11/28/2017)*
- 12 Randomly Selected Staff Training Records
- Random Staff Interviews

Findings:

115.31(a)

Policy 14-2 pages 6 and 7 covers employee training. It states:

*All CoreCivic facility employees shall receive training on CoreCivic’s zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment.*

The facility utilizes CoreCivic’s “PREA Overview” for its staff. The lesson plan indicates this is a two-hour course.


During random staff interviews, it was evident the staff had received training and that the training received covered all the necessary elements of the standard.

The staff have a first responder card they can utilize to assist them with the steps of the first responder responsibilities. At the time of the onsite audit, the facility had several staff from a Missouri facility working in their institutions. During random interviews with these staff, they indicated they had received training at their facility and had also gone through an orientation
training when they arrived to work at this facility. Facility staff indicated they receive training on PREA pre-service, at in service, and through e-learning.

115.31(b)

Under the Training and Acknowledgement section of policy 14-D, Sexual Abuse Prevention and Response, the policy states, “Such training will be tailored to the gender of the inmates/detainees at the facility.” As this course covers the PREA information to male inmates and all staff are required to complete it at pre-service and in-service training, this information to being communicated appropriately to all staff.

115.31(c)

Policy 14-2 pages 6 and 7 states the following:

At a minimum, all employees shall receive pre-service and annual in-service training on the following:

i. The PREA National Standards and other applicable state or local laws imposing criminal liability for the sexual abuse of a person held in custody; At this facility, the applicable state or local laws governing sexual abuse of persons in custody in addition to PREA are: Georgia code annotated 16-6-5.1 Sexual Assault by Persons with Supervisory or Disciplinary Authority

ii. An employee’s duty to report any occurrence of sexual harassment or sexual abuse;

iii. How to fulfill employee responsibilities for sexual abuse/sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response in accordance with policy;

iv. The right of inmates/detainees to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment;

v. The right of inmates/detainees and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment;

vi. The dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement;

vii. Locations, situations, and circumstances in which sexual abuse may occur;

viii. The common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims;

ix. Signs of victimization;

x. How to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse;

xi. Signs of predatory behavior;

xii. How to avoid inappropriate relationships with inmates/detainees;

xiii. Circumstances that may lead to inappropriate sexual contact by an employee;

xiv. How to communicate effectively and professionally with inmates/detainees, including LGBTI and Gender Non-Conforming inmates/detainees; and

xv. How to comply with laws relevant to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities.

PREA training is held for all staff both in pre-service and annual in-service training which exceeds this standards requirement of training every two years. The PAQ listed there were 142 staff who are employed by the agency who were trained in PREA during the preceding 12-month period. This equates to 100% of the facility staff.

115.31(d)
Employee training files were reviewed for twelve randomly selected staff members for 2017 and 2018. Each of these employees completed PREA training and signed an acknowledgement in 2017. Of these twelve, only three still needed to complete their 2018 PREA training and sign the acknowledgement.

**Corrective Action:**

None required

---

**Standard 115.32: Volunteer and contractor training**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.32 (a)

- Has the agency ensured that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency’s sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.32 (b)

- Have all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates been notified of the agency’s zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents (the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with inmates)? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.32 (c)

- Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

☐ **Exceeds Standard** *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*  
☒ **Meets Standard** *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*  
☐ **Does Not Meet Standard** *(Requires Corrective Action)*

**Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does
not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:

- CoreCivic Sexual Abuse Prevention and Response policy 14-2 (Effective 11/28/2017)
- CoreCivic “PREA Overview” Lesson Plan
- CoreCivic PREA Policy Acknowledgement and/or Training Acknowledgement form
- Contractor/Volunteer Training Records

Findings:

115.32(a)

Policy 14-2 page 8 asserts that all civilians/volunteers/contractors who regularly have contact with inmates shall receive training on their responsibilities pertaining to sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response as outlined in this policy.

Crossroads Correctional Center utilizes CoreCivic’s PREA Overview for its contractors and volunteers. The lesson plan indicates this is a two-hour course. The roster provided along with the PAQ shows the training for volunteers in March 2018 was 30 minutes.

This course is made up of 10 parts: 1) Introduction: 2) Zero Tolerance: 3) Fulfilling Responsibilities: 4) Inmate/Detainee and Employee Rights 5) Dynamics in Confinement 6) Common Reactions of Victims 7) Avoiding Inappropriate Relationships 8) Communication 9) Reporting to Outside Agencies 10) Summarization

Documentation of training completion for contract staff was provided upon request. All requested documentation received seems to be complete.

115.32(b), (c)

Policy 14-2 page 8 cites this provision stating:

The level and type of training provided to civilians/volunteers/contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with inmates/detainees. All civilians/volunteers/contractors who have contact with inmates/detainees shall be notified of CoreCivic’s zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents.

The facility utilizes several volunteers within the facility. In the documentation provided pre-audit, there is a roster for training which was conducted for religious volunteers. This training ran from 2:30 pm to 4:00 pm and covered the following topics:

1) Cultural Awareness
2) Suicide Awareness
3) Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA)
Following training, the volunteers are required to sign the CoreCivic PREA Policy Acknowledgement and/or Training Acknowledgement form.

Corrective Action:
None required

Standard 115.33: Inmate education

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.33 (a)

- During intake, do inmates receive information explaining the agency’s zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- During intake, do inmates receive information explaining how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.33 (b)

- Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.33 (c)

- Have all inmates received such education? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Do inmates receive education upon transfer to a different facility to the extent that the policies and procedures of the inmate’s new facility differ from those of the previous facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.33 (d)

- Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are limited English proficient? ☒ Yes ☐ No
Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are deaf? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are visually impaired? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are otherwise disabled? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who have limited reading skills? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.33 (e)

Does the agency maintain documentation of inmate participation in these education sessions? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.33 (f)

In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to inmates through posters, inmate handbooks, or other written formats? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☒ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☐ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:

- CoreCivic Sexual Abuse Prevention and Response policy 14-2 (Effective 11/28/2017)
- Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)
- Inmate Handbook
- Inmate Intake Documentation
Findings:

115.33(a)

The PAQ indicates 1,285 inmate intakes were conducted at Crossroads Correctional Facility for the reporting period.

All inmates are informed about their rights pertaining to sexual safety at intake. Intake staff has each inmate review a document regarding sexual abuse/assault at intake. This document explains that all allegations of sexual contact, sexual abuse, and sexual harassment involving inmates will be reported and fully investigated. In addition, it also covers how the inmate should report any information regarding sexual abuse and harassment of others or themselves. This documentation is signed and dated by the inmate and by the staff member who went through the information with them. In addition, they are each given an inmate handbook which also includes the information pertaining to their rights. The facility also utilizes a pamphlet which was created by CoreCivic covering Preventing Sexual Abuse and Misconduct. Review of the handbook shows it is a duplicate of the information covered at intake.

115.33(b)

Policy 14-2 states, “Upon arrival at the facility, all inmates/detainees shall be provided written information regarding sexual abuse prevention and reporting (e.g. inmate handbook, 12-2AA Preventing Sexual Abuse brochure, etc).”

The PAQ indicates 727 of 1,285 inmates who went through intake during the reporting period were given comprehensive training on their rights within 30 days. Record review indicates this generally occurs within the first 24 hours they are in the facility. Those who did not receive training within the first 30 days of incarceration, left the facility prior to the end of the 30 day period.

115.33(c)

The PAQ indicates 727 of 1,285 inmates who went through intake during the reporting period were given comprehensive training on their rights within 30 days. Record review indicates this generally occurs within the first 24 hours they are in the facility. Those who did not receive training within the first 30 days of incarceration, left the facility prior to the end of the 30 day period.

115.33(d)

The PREA training materials utilized by the facility are in compliance with this standard. During the onsite visit, interviews were conducted with two inmates who are limited English proficient, one inmate who is legally blind, one who is physically disabled, and two who are cognitively disabled. All these inmates understood their rights, how to report, and how to remain safe.

115.33(e)
The facility maintains documentation that each inmate is notified of their rights and how to make a report. This documentation is part of the intake paperwork.

115.33(f)

Crossroads Correctional Center exceeds standards as far as having reporting information readily available for the inmates. Throughout the facility there is signage posted. In addition, stencils have been permanently put onto the walls in many common areas covering reporting options. The facility has also utilized inmate artists to create several eye catching signs which are painted onto the facility walls and indicate the facility’s zero tolerance policy.

The inmate handbook also provides the inmates with relevant information regarding PREA and reporting options.

**Corrective Action:**

None required

---

**Standard 115.34: Specialized training: Investigations**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

**115.34 (a)**

- In addition to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.31, does the agency ensure that, to the extent the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its investigators have received training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

**115.34 (b)**

- Does this specialized training include techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims? [N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).] ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- Does this specialized training include proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings? [N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).] ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- Does this specialized training include sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings? [N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).] ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Does this specialized training include the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral? [N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).] ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.34 (c)

Does the agency maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations? [N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).] ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.34 (d)

Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☒ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
☐ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:

- CoreCivic Sexual Abuse Prevention and Response policy 14-2 (Effective 11/28/2017)
- Investigator Training Records
- Investigation File Review
- Interviews with Facility Investigators
- MDOC PREA “Investigator Training” Presentation
- “Investigations Manager Training” Training Agenda
- Investigating Sexual Misconduct (The Moss Group)

Findings:

115.34(a)
Policy 14-2 page 7 states:
In addition to the general training provided to all employees and to the extent that CoreCivic conducts sexual abuse investigations, investigators shall receive training in conducting sexual abuse investigations in confinement setting. The PREA Compliance Manager shall ensure that more than one (1) person at the facility receives training as a sexual abuse investigator. This will ensure that a trained investigator is available as a back-up during employee absences (e.g. leave, paid time off, sickness, offsite training, etc.) from work.

Training records for facility investigator and the MDOC investigator were reviewed. Both investigators have received specialized training in conducting PREA investigations.

115.34(b)

Policy 14-2 page 7 states:
Specialized training shall include techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims, proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings, sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings, and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral.

Content for the Montana Department of Corrections "Investigator Training" was reviewed. This course complies with the training requirements as set forth by this standard. In addition, the content for The Moss Groups Investigating Sexual Misconduct course was reviewed. This course also includes all the required elements.

115.34(c)

Policy 14-2 states that documentation confirming that investigators have completed the required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations shall be maintained in accordance with CoreCivic Policies 1-15 Record Retention and 4-2 Maintenance of Training Records.

Record review shows both individuals who were indicated as investigators have completed specialized training.

115.34(d)

These subsections have no bearing on facility compliance.

Corrective Action:
None required

Standard 115.35: Specialized training: Medical and mental health care

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.35 (a)
Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.35 (b)

If medical staff employed by the agency conduct forensic examinations, do such medical staff receive appropriate training to conduct such examinations? (N/A if agency medical staff at the facility do not conduct forensic exams.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

115.35 (c)

Does the agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.35 (d)

Do medical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training mandated for employees by §115.31? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Do medical and mental health care practitioners contracted by and volunteering for the agency also receive training mandated for contractors and volunteers by §115.32? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative
The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:

- CoreCivic Sexual Abuse Prevention and Response policy 14-2 (Effective 11/28/2017)
- CoreCivic “PREA Overview” lesson plan
- “PREA: Specialty Training for Medical and Mental Health Staff” lesson plan
- Medical and mental health staff training records

Findings:

115.35(a)

Policy 14-27 states:
In addition to the general training provided to all employees, all full and part-time Qualified Health Care Professionals and Qualified Mental Health Professionals, who work regularly in the facility, shall receive specialized medical training as outlined below:
• How to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment;
• How to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse;
• How to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; and
• How and to who to report allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

Crossroads Correctional Center currently has 28 staff who qualify under the definition of full and part-time qualified health care professionals and qualified mental health professionals. At the time of the completion of the PAQ, the facility indicated 100% training compliance.

In random interviews with qualified health care professionals and qualified mental health professionals, staff indicated they have been trained on all the requirements of this standard and were able to articulate what the training had covered.

Training records show completion of three PREA courses. These are: PREA: Specialty Training for Medical and Mental Health, PREA Overview, and PREA Contractor Training.

115.35(b)

Crossroads Correctional Center staff do not conduct forensic medical examinations. They currently have a MOU with Marias Medical Center that will be discussed in another section. During interviews, staff indicated any involved persons would be transported to the medical center for an examination.

115.35(c), (d)
Training records show completion of three PREA courses. These are: PREA: Specialty Training for Medical and Mental Health, PREA Overview, and PREA Contractor Training.

Corrective Action:
None required

SCREENING FOR RISK OF SEXUAL VICTIMIZATION AND ABUSIVENESS

Standard 115.41: Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.41 (a)
- Are all inmates assessed during an intake screening for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Are all inmates assessed upon transfer to another facility for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.41 (b)
- Do intake screenings ordinarily take place within 72 hours of arrival at the facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.41 (c)
- Are all PREA screening assessments conducted using an objective screening instrument? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.41 (d)
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (1) Whether the inmate has a mental, physical, or developmental disability? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (2) The age of the inmate? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (3) The physical build of the inmate? ☒ Yes ☐ No
• Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (4) Whether the inmate has previously been incarcerated? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

• Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (5) Whether the inmate’s criminal history is exclusively nonviolent? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

• Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (6) Whether the inmate has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

• Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (7) Whether the inmate is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming (the facility affirmatively asks the inmate about his/her sexual orientation and gender identity AND makes a subjective determination based on the screener’s perception whether the inmate is gender non-conforming or otherwise may be perceived to be LGBTI)? ☐ Yes  ☒ No

• Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (8) Whether the inmate has previously experienced sexual victimization? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

• Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (9) The inmate’s own perception of vulnerability? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

• Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (10) Whether the inmate is detained solely for civil immigration purposes? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

115.41 (e)

• In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency: prior acts of sexual abuse? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

• In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency: prior convictions for violent offenses? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

• In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency: history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

115.41 (f)
Within a set time period not more than 30 days from the inmate’s arrival at the facility, does the facility reassess the inmate’s risk of victimization or abusiveness based upon any additional, relevant information received by the facility since the intake screening? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.41 (g)

• Does the facility reassess an inmate’s risk level when warranted due to a: Referral? ☒ Yes ☐ No
• Does the facility reassess an inmate’s risk level when warranted due to a: Request? ☒ Yes ☐ No
• Does the facility reassess an inmate’s risk level when warranted due to a: Incident of sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No
• Does the facility reassess an inmate’s risk level when warranted due to a: Receipt of additional information that bears on the inmate’s risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.41 (h)

• Is it the case that inmates are not ever disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information in response to, questions asked pursuant to paragraphs (d)(1), (d)(7), (d)(8), or (d)(9) of this section? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.41 (i)

• Has the agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the inmate’s detriment by staff or other inmates? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.
The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:

- CoreCivic Sexual Abuse Prevention and Response policy 14-2 (Effective 11/28/2017)
- Interviews with staff who conduct screenings
- Sexual Abuse Screening Tool

Findings:

115.41(a), (b)

Policy 14-2 pages 12-13 states:

Upon admission to the facility, inmates/detainees shall be screened by staff assigned to perform the initial intake screening process in order to obtain information relevant to housing, cell, work, education, and program assignments with the goal of keeping separate those inmates/detainees at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive. Housing assignments are made accordingly.

a. Inmates/detainees shall be screened, within twenty-four (24) hours of arrival at the facility.

Copies of assessments were randomly selected by the auditor for 20 inmates within the facility. In each case, initial assessments were conducted per policy and the standard.

During the interviews with staff who complete the assessments, the indicated the screenings are all generally done within the first 24 hours after intake.

115.41(c), (d)

The screening utilized by Crossroads Correctional Center asks the following questions:

Victimization History/Risk

1) Have you been the victim of sexual abuse or unwelcome sexual activity?
2) Have you ever been threatened with sexual assault by another inmate/detainee while incarcerated?
3) Have you ever been approached by another inmate/detainee for sex while incarcerated?
4) Do you feel that you are vulnerable to sexual abuse or assault while incarcerated?
5) Is your sexual orientation or status lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender non-conforming or do you believe you are perceived to be lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender non-conforming?
6) Do you have a physical, mental, or developmental disability?
7) Do you have a current or prior conviction of sexual offense/abuse against a child or adult?

Staff Observation/File Review

8) Inmate/detainee appears to be physically, developmentally, or physically disabled.
9) Inmate/detainee has a small build or appears to be vulnerable.
10) Inmate/detainee appears to be gender non-conforming, lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex.
11) Inmate/detainee appears to be a loner, introverted, or naïve.
12) Inmate/detainee has a youthful or elderly appearance which may contribute to vulnerability.
13) This is the first time the inmate/detainee has been incarcerated.
14) Inmate/detainee has only non-violent offenses or institution record.
15) Inmate/detainee is being detained solely for civil immigration purposes.

Crossroads Correctional Center’s screening tool utilizes both self-reported information from the inmate as well as staff observations for the initial assessment. In the Sexual Victim Factors section, if an inmate scores three or higher on questions two-10 or scores on question one or 2, they are indicated as a victim.

During the interviews with the staff who conducted the screening, it was indicated they use their perception of age in making their observations and this is also documented on the form.

115.41(e)

The screening utilized by Crossroads Correctional Center asks the following questions:

Predatory History/Risk

16) Do you have a previous conviction of sexual assault or abuse in a prison or jail?
17) Have you received a disciplinary sanction for sexual abuse while incarcerated in prison or jail?
18) Do you have a current or prior conviction of sexual offense/abuse against a child or adult?
19) Do you have a current or prior conviction of a violent offense against a child or adult?
20) Have you received a disciplinary sanction for violence while incarcerated in prison or jail?

Staff Observation/File Review

21) Inmate/detainee has a security threat group affiliation.

Discrepancies between the interview and the file review

22) Are there discrepancies between the interview and the file review?

All mandatory elements of this substandard are contained in the assessment tool. Interviews with staff who conduct screening assessments and samples of random inmate assessments confirm this use of this tool and scoring.

115.41(f)

Policy 14-2 page 13 states:

A reassessment of the inmate/detainee’s risk level of victimization or abusiveness will be conducted by the appropriate Case Manager or a staff member designated by the Warden/Facility Administrator. The assessment shall occur: Within thirty (30) days of the inmate/detainee’s arrival at the facility. The reassessment will include any additional relevant information received by the facility since the initial intake screening.

The auditor randomly selected 20 inmates from the facility and requested copies of all assessments and reassessments that had been conducted by Crossroads Correctional Center during their incarceration. For the six inmates who entered the facility prior to the release of the PREA standards, assessments were completed between 2014 and 2015 (prior to the first
PREA audit of the facility.) Of the remaining 18 inmates, 17 of the assessments were completed within 30 days after the initial intake assessment. The remaining inmate had not reached the 30-day reassessment deadline.

115.41 (g)

Policy 14-2 page 13 states:

*When warranted, due to a referral, request, incident of sexual abuse, or receipt of additional information that may impact the inmate/detainee’s risk of victimization or abusiveness.*

During interviews with the staff who conduct the screenings, it was indicated they would perform an assessment at arrival, within 30 days after arrival, if they received additional information that may change the scoring, and if the inmate was involved in a PREA case.

115.41 (h)

Policy 14-2 states that inmates will not be disciplined for refusing to answer screening questions, as outlined in this provision.

While onsite, interviews were conducted with the staff members who conduct the intake assessments. Both staff indicated there is no punishment for refusing to answer the screening questions. They state they just document the refusal.

115.41(i)

Based on information received from the staff members who conduct the intake assessments, the facility practice is that the unit team has access to the information from the assessments. They utilize the information primarily for housing and employment but also utilize it to make recommendation that the inmate may need mental health services.

**Corrective Action:**

None Needed

**Standard 115.42: Use of screening information**

*All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report*

115.42 (a)

- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Housing Assignments? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Bed assignments? ☒ Yes ☐ No
Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Work Assignments? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Education Assignments? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Program Assignments? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.42 (b)

Does the agency make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each inmate? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.42 (c)

When deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex inmate to a facility for male or female inmates, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the inmate’s health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems (NOTE: if an agency by policy or practice assigns inmates to a male or female facility on the basis of anatomy alone, that agency is not in compliance with this standard)? ☒ Yes ☐ No

When making housing or other program assignments for transgender or intersex inmates, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the inmate’s health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.42 (d)

Are placement and programming assignments for each transgender or intersex inmate reassessed at least twice each year to review any threats to safety experienced by the inmate? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.42 (e)

Are each transgender or intersex inmate’s own views with respect to his or her own safety given serious consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and programming assignments? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.42 (f)

Are transgender and intersex inmates given the opportunity to shower separately from other inmates? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.42 (g)
Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: 
lebian, gay, and bisexual inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: 
transgender inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: 
intersex inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:

- CoreCivic Sexual Abuse Prevention and Response policy 14-2 (Effective 11/28/2017)
- Screening Tool
- Interviews with screening staff
- Interview with PREA Compliance Manager

Findings:

115.42(a)
Policy 14-2 asserts that upon admission to the facility, inmates shall be screened by staff assigned to perform the initial screening process to obtain information relevant to housing, cell, work, education, and program assignments with the goal of keeping separate those inmates/detainees at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive. Also, that the screening shall identify past victims and/or predators and assess vulnerability to sexual abuse victimization. Housing assignments are made accordingly.

Based on information received in the interviews with the staff members responsible for housing decisions, they utilize the screening information to assist with housing decisions. In the interview with the compliance manager, he indicated many of the inmates who screen as predators end up in the same pod together. He reported the facility tries to take into account the inmate’s age when deciding who they would be appropriate to house with. In addition, he reported they will talk to the inmates. He stated, in some cases, a victim and perpetrator may be in the same pod but not in the same cell.

Documentation review of those inmates who are assessed as victims or aggressors shows an effort to ensure safety whenever possible by keeping them in different areas of the institution.

115.42(b)

This substandard is not specifically addressed in policy but Crossroads Correctional Center demonstrated they do make individualized determinations with inmate safety in mind. The screening tool rates the inmates as predator, potential predator, potential victim, and victim.

115.42(c)

Policy 14-2 states, “In deciding whether to house a transgender or intersex inmate/detainee in a male housing unit/area or a female housing unit/area, or when making other housing and programming assignments for such inmates/detainees, the facility shall consider the transgender or intersex inmate/detainee’s own views with respect to his/her own safety and shall consider on a case-by-case basis whether such placement would ensure the inmate/detainee’s health and safety. Consideration should also be given as to whether the placement would present management or security problems.”

Montana Department of Corrections makes the determination whether transgender or intersex inmates will be housed at a male or female facility prior to them being transferred. Crossroads Correctional Center is a contract facility who houses those the Montana Department of Corrections deems to be appropriate for placement within the facility.

115.42(d)

Policy 14-2 states, “Placement and programming assignments for each transgender or intersex inmate/detainee shall be reassessed at least twice each year to review whether any threats to safety were experienced by the inmate/detainee.”

In the PAQ documentation, the facility indicated they did not have any inmates who identified as transgender or intersex. During the onsite portion of the audit, the auditor did not find any
inmates who identified as transgender or intersex which indicated they had not missed any. Due to there being no transgender or intersex inmates, this subsection could not be confirmed.

115.42(e)

Policy 14-2 states, “In deciding whether to house a transgender or intersex inmate/detainee in a male housing unit/area or a female housing unit/area, or when making other housing and programming assignments for such inmates/detainees, the facility shall consider the transgender or intersex inmate/detainee’s own views with respect to his/her own safety and shall consider on a case-by-case basis whether such placement would ensure the inmate/detainee’s health and safety.”

Interviews with the Warden’s designee, compliance manager, and screening staff indicated a belief in talking with the inmates and open communication. While there were no transgender inmates to interview at the time of the onsite portion of the audit, it is evident the facility administration takes the inmates concerns very seriously.

115.42(f)

Policy 14-2 states, “Transgender and intersex inmates/detainees shall be given the opportunity to shower separately from other inmates/detainees.”

The PREA Compliance Manager indicated in his interview that transgender and intersex inmates would have the option to shower in medical, in intake, or during count time to allow them extra privacy if they required it. Any of these options would allow for sufficient privacy.

115.42 (g)

Policy 14-2 page 14 states, “The establishment of a unit or pod solely dedicated to the housing of LGBTI and/or Gender Non-Conforming inmates/detainees is strictly prohibited unless required by consent decree, court order, or other comparable legal authority.”

The facility indicated in the PAQ there were no inmates who identified as lesbian, gay, bi-sexual, transgender, intersex, or gender non-conforming. However, while conducting random interviews, an inmate who identified as bi-sexual was encountered. He indicated he felt he had not been housed any differently than any other inmates in the facility.

During the interview with the compliance manager, he indicated the facility staff tends to house those identified as predator and potential predator in a pod together.

Corrective Action:

None required

**Standard 115.43: Protective Custody**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report
115.43 (a)

- Does the facility always refrain from placing inmates at high risk for sexual victimization in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made, and a determination has been made that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- If a facility cannot conduct such an assessment immediately, does the facility hold the inmate in involuntary segregated housing for less than 24 hours while completing the assessment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.43 (b)

- Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Programs to the extent possible? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Privileges to the extent possible? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Education to the extent possible? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Work opportunities to the extent possible? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document: The opportunities that have been limited? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document: The duration of the limitation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document: The reasons for such limitations? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.43 (c)

- Does the facility assign inmates at high risk of sexual victimization to involuntary segregated housing only until an alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does such an assignment not ordinarily exceed a period of 30 days? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.43 (d)

- If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The basis for the facility’s concern for the inmate’s safety? ☒ Yes ☐ No
If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The reason why no alternative means of separation can be arranged? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.43 (e)

In the case of each inmate who is placed in involuntary segregation because he/she is at high risk of sexual victimization, does the facility afford a review to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population EVERY 30 DAYS? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☒ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
☐ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:

- CoreCivic Sexual Abuse Prevention and Response policy 14-2 (Effective 11/28/2017)
- Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)

Findings:

115.43(a)

Policy 14-2 page 15 state inmates “at high risk for sexual victimization shall not be placed in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made and a determination has been made that there is no available means of separation from likely abusers. If a facility cannot conduct such an assessment immediately, the facility may hold the inmate/detainee in involuntary segregated housing for less than twenty-four (24) hours while completing the assessment.”

The PAQ indicates Crossroads Correctional Center staff have not placed an inmate in involuntary segregation within the past 12 months. In looking at the housing assignments for those deemed to be at high risk for victimization and speaking to several of the inmates, it appears the facility does not utilize involuntary segregation as a method of separation. In
addition, interviews conducted with staff throughout all levels confirm this information as well. During the interview with the facility’s warden designee, she indicated she would never utilize involuntary segregation for an inmate who was at risk of victimization unless it was requested by the inmate. She indicated the medical area could be utilized to house the inmate while alternatives were explored.

115.43(b)

Policy 14-2 page 15 states, “Inmates/detainees placed in segregated housing for this purpose shall have access to programs, privileges, education, and work opportunities to the extent possible. If access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities is restricted, the facility shall document the following: i. The opportunities that have been limited; ii. The duration of the limitation; iii. The reasons for such limitations.”

Based on information received during interviews and the site review, there do not seem to be any cases of inmates held in involuntary segregation due to a high risk of being sexually victimized.

115.43(c), (d), (e)

The PAQ indicates there were no inmates held in involuntary segregation due to their risk of being sexually victimized. Files reviewed seem to confirm there have not been any cases of involuntary segregation of those at risk of being victimized. While there is no record of any inmates being held in involuntary segregation due to their risk of being sexually victimized, it is worth noting that every inmate housed in segregation, regardless of reason for placement, are reviewed every seven days. This is an exceptional practice the facility has in place.

Two staff members that work the isolation/segregation unit reported there were no inmates placed in the unit for risk of sexual abuse, to their knowledge, and that inmates had not been involuntarily segregated for that reason. In the office area of the segregation unit is a marker board that lists the reason for each placement within the unit. During the onsite audit, the auditor was in this office on different occasions over two days. Each time, the board was reviewed and there was no indication of any placements of PREA victims either at their request or at the facility’s placement.

Corrective Action:

None required

REPORTING

Standard 115.51: Inmate reporting

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report
115.51 (a)

- Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Retaliation by other inmates or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.51 (b)

- Does the agency also provide at least one way for inmates to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Is that private entity or office able to receive and immediately forward inmate reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to agency officials? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does that private entity or office allow the inmate to remain anonymous upon request? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Are inmates detained solely for civil immigration purposes provided information on how to contact relevant consular officials and relevant officials at the Department of Homeland Security? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.51 (c)

- Does staff accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does staff promptly document any verbal reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.51 (d)

- Does the agency provide a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of inmates? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:

- CoreCivic Sexual Abuse Prevention and Response policy 14-2 (Effective 11/28/2017)
- PREA signage
- File review

Findings:

115.51(a)

Policy 14-2 pages 16 and 17 states:

Inmates/detainees shall be encouraged to immediately report pressure, threats, or instances of sexual abuse or sexual harassment, as well as possible retaliation by other inmates/detainees or employees for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment, and staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents. Inmates/detainees who are victims of sexual abuse have the option to report an incident to a designated employee other than an immediate point-of-contact line officer by using any of the following methods:

i. Submitting a request to meet with Health Services staff and/or reporting to a Health Services staff member during sick call;

ii. Calling the facility’s twenty-four (24) hour toll-free notification telephone number;

iii. Verbally telling any employee, including the facility Chaplain;

iv. Forwarding a letter, sealed and marked confidential, to the Warden/Facility Administrator or any other employee;

v. Calling or writing to someone outside the facility who can notify facility staff;

vi. Forwarding a letter to the CoreCivic Managing Director, Facility Operations, at the following address: 10 Burton Hills Boulevard Nashville, TN 37215

vii. Electronically report allegations of sexual abuse and harassment to any department listed in the C-ORES system as a contact.

Inmates/detainees detained solely for civil immigration purposes shall be provided information on how to contact relevant consular officials at the Department of Homeland Security.

Inmates have access to this list within their employee handbook. In addition, each unit has signage posted with reporting options.

During interviews with staff and inmates at the facility, they were able to articulate multiple ways in which a report can be made both inside and outside of the facility.

115.51(b)
Crossroads Correctional Center has a contract with the Montana Department of Corrections (MDOC). Inmates may contact the MDOC either through the *232 phone number through the inmate phones. In addition, the inmates have access to two other reporting options through the phone system. The Office of the Inspector General can be reached by dialing *231 and the Hi-Line Help for Abuse Spouses can be reached by dialing *233. While onsite, the auditor successfully tested the *233 line.

During interviews with both random and targeted inmates, each was able to articulate options for making PREA reports both inside and outside of the facility.

115.51(c)

Policy 14-2 page 17 states, “Employees must take all allegations of sexual abuse seriously, including verbal, anonymous, and third party reports, and treat them as if the allegation is credible. Staff shall promptly document any verbal reports.”

During random and targeted inmate interviews, all inmates were able to articulate multiple methods of reporting to include reporting to staff. The inmates indicated there were staff they were comfortable with and would report to if they needed to make a report.

During staff interviews, each person was able to articulate they will accept a report of sexual abuse/sexual harassment no matter what medium was used to report.

File documentation from cases where verbal reports were made show a very efficient response to the allegation to include staff documentation.

115.51(d)

Policy 14-2 page 17 states, “Employees may privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of inmates/detainees by forwarding a letter, sealed and marked ‘confidential’, to the Warden/Facility Administrator.”

Review shows staff have the option to contact the warden or to utilize the CoreCivic Ethics telephone line for making anonymous reports regarding sexual abuse or sexual harassment of inmates.

During random staff interviews, staff reported they would either call the hotline or talk to a supervisor in their facility.

**Corrective Action:**

None required

---

**Standard 115.52: Exhaustion of administrative remedies**

**All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report**
115.52 (a)

- Is the agency exempt from this standard? NOTE: The agency is exempt ONLY if it does not have administrative procedures to address inmate grievances regarding sexual abuse. This does not mean the agency is exempt simply because an inmate does not have to or is not ordinarily expected to submit a grievance to report sexual abuse. ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.52 (b)

- Does the agency permit inmates to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse without any type of time limits? (The agency may apply otherwise-applicable time limits to any portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

115.52 (c)

- Does the agency ensure that: An inmate who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

- Does the agency ensure that: Such grievance is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

115.52 (d)

- Does the agency issue a final agency decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance? (Computation of the 90-day time period does not include time consumed by inmates in preparing any administrative appeal.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

- If the agency claims the maximum allowable extension of time to respond of up to 70 days per 115.52(d)(3) when the normal time period for response is insufficient to make an appropriate decision, does the agency notify the inmate in writing of any such extension and provide a date by which a decision will be made? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

- At any level of the administrative process, including the final level, if the inmate does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, may an inmate consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

115.52 (e)
- Are third parties, including fellow inmates, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, permitted to assist inmates in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)
  ☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☒ NA

- Are those third parties also permitted to file such requests on behalf of inmates? (If a third-party files such a request on behalf of an inmate, the facility may require as a condition of processing the request that the alleged victim agree to have the request filed on his or her behalf, and may also require the alleged victim to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the administrative remedy process.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)
  ☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☒ NA

- If the inmate declines to have the request processed on his or her behalf, does the agency document the inmate’s decision? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)
  ☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☒ NA

### 115.52 (f)

- Has the agency established procedures for the filing of an emergency grievance alleging that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)
  ☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☒ NA

- After receiving an emergency grievance alleging an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does the agency immediately forward the grievance (or any portion thereof that alleges the substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse) to a level of review at which immediate corrective action may be taken? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)
  ☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☒ NA

- After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency provide an initial response within 48 hours? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)
  ☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☒ NA

- After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency issue a final agency decision within 5 calendar days? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)
  ☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☒ NA

- Does the initial response and final agency decision document the agency’s determination whether the inmate is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)
  ☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☒ NA

- Does the initial response document the agency’s action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)
  ☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☒ NA

- Does the agency’s final decision document the agency’s action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)
  ☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☒ NA

### 115.52 (g)
- If the agency disciplines an inmate for filing a grievance related to alleged sexual abuse, does it do so ONLY where the agency demonstrates that the inmate filed the grievance in bad faith? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) □ Yes □ No ☒ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:

- CoreCivic Sexual Abuse Prevention and Response policy 14-2 (Effective 11/28/2017)

Findings:

115.52(a)

Policy 14-2 page 17 states, “Unless otherwise mandated by contract, alleged PREA incidents will not be processed through the facility’s inmate/detainee grievance process. Should a report be submitted and received as an inmate/detainee grievance, whether inadvertently or due to contracting agency requirements, it will immediately be referred to the facility investigator or Administrative Duty Officer.”

115.52(b)

Not Applicable: Exempt

115.52(c)

Not Applicable: Exempt

115.52(d)

Not Applicable: Exempt

115.52(e)
Not Applicable: Exempt

115.52(f)
Not Applicable: Exempt

115.52 (g)
Not Applicable: Exempt

**Corrective Action:**
None required

## Standard 115.53: Inmate access to outside confidential support services

*All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report*

### 115.53 (a)

- Does the facility provide inmates with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving inmates mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the facility provide persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available of local, State, or national immigrant services agencies? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the facility enable reasonable communication between inmates and these organizations and agencies, in as confidential a manner as possible? ☒ Yes ☐ No

### 115.53 (b)

- Does the facility inform inmates, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws? ☒ Yes ☐ No

### 115.53 (c)

- Does the agency maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding or other agreements with community service providers that are able to provide inmates with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter into such agreements? ☒ Yes ☐ No
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:

- CoreCivic Sexual Abuse Prevention and Response policy 14-2 *(Effective 11/28/2017)*
- Inmate handbook

Findings:

115.53(a)

Policy 14-2 page 10 state, “Inmates/detainees shall be provided access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving inmates/detainees mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free numbers where available, of local, state, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations, and, for persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes, immigrant services agencies. The facility shall enable reasonable communication between inmates/detainees and these organizations and agencies, in as confidential a manner as possible.”

Inmates at Crossroads Correctional Center have access to confidential support services through the Hi-Line Help for Abused Spouses hotline. There is prevalent signage within the facility and the contact information is also located in their handbook.

115.53(b)

Policy 14-2 page 10 states, “Inmates/detainees shall be informed, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications shall be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws.

The facility signage all contains a notification that all calls are anonymous, unmonitored, and at no cost. In addition, this information is also included in the inmate handbook.
The facility has a current MOU with Hi-Line Help for Abused Spouses. The MOU is active as of 01/04/2018 and does not expire unless one of the agencies terminates the agreement. As part of the agreement, Hi-Line Help for Abused Spouses will provide advocacy services upon request, will forward reports to the facility 24 hours per day, and will notify the facility if the reporting line is being used improperly.

Corrective Action:

None Required

Standard 115.54: Third-party reporting

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.54 (a)

- Has the agency established a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Has the agency distributed publicly information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of an inmate? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:

- CoreCivic Sexual Abuse Prevention and Response policy 14-2 (Effective 11/28/2017)
- Crossroads Correctional Center website
Findings:

115.54(a)

On the Crossroads Correctional Facility website, http://www.corecivic.com/facilities/crossroads-correctional-center, there are two options for making a third party report. These are:

1) Send a letter to the PREA Compliance Manager
2) Send a letter to the Montana Department of Corrections PREA Coordinator
3) Utilize the CoreCivic Ethics hotline or visit the website

In addition, there were numbers for the ICE Community and Detainee Hotline and the Office of the Inspector General.

Corrective Action:

None required

OFFICIAL RESPONSE FOLLOWING AN INMATE REPORT

Standard 115.61: Staff and agency reporting duties

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.61 (a)

- Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding retaliation against inmates or staff who reported an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or retaliation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.61 (b)

- Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials, does staff always refrain from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary, as specified in agency policy, to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.61 (c)
- Unless otherwise precluded by Federal, State, or local law, are medical and mental health practitioners required to report sexual abuse pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Are medical and mental health practitioners required to inform inmates of the practitioner’s duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services? ☒ Yes ☐ No

### 115.61 (d)

- If the alleged victim is under the age of 18 or considered a vulnerable adult under a State or local vulnerable persons statute, does the agency report the allegation to the designated State or local services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws? ☒ Yes ☐ No

### 115.61 (e)

- Does the facility report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports, to the facility’s designated investigators? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

☐ **Exceeds Standard** *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ **Meets Standard** *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ **Does Not Meet Standard** *(Requires Corrective Action)*

**Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

**The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:**

- CoreCivic Sexual Abuse Prevention and Response policy 14-2 *(Effective 11/28/2017)*
- Investigation Reports

**Findings:**

115.61(a), (b)

Policy 14-2 page 17 covers the requirement of all staff reporting immediately any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment, to treat all allegations as credible, and to report any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident or retaliation. In addition, it also covers the requirement that
staff is prohibited from revealing information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary, as specified in agency policy, to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions.

Each of the random staff interviewed stated they were aware they were prohibited from sharing any information about an allegation except with their supervisor or the investigator. They were also aware of their reporting requirements in relation to PREA allegations.

115.61(c)

Policy 14-2 page 17 states, “Unless otherwise precluded by federal, state, or local law, medical and mental health professionals shall be required to follow reporting procedures as outlined above in L.2.a. At the initiation of providing medical care, both medical and mental health professionals will inform inmates/detainees of their professional duty to report and the limitations of confidentiality.”

During an interview conducted with medical staff, she reported the inmates/detainees are informed of the confidentiality limits during a speech at intake, through documentation in their intake packet, during a group conversation, and they are talked to individually.

115.61(d)

Policy 14-2 page 18 states, “If the alleged victim is under the age of eighteen (18) or considered a vulnerable adult under a state or local vulnerable person’s statute, the allegation shall be reported to the designated state or local services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws.”

Crossroads Correctional Center does not house inmates/detainees under this age of 18 so this standard is not applicable.

115.61(e)

Policy 14-2 page 17 states, “All reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment will be reported to the facility investigator.”

The warden’s designee, the PREA Compliance Manager, and the investigators all reported this is the practice.

Investigation file review verified this is the practice.

Corrective Action:
None required

Standard 115.62: Agency protection duties

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report
115.62 (a)

- When the agency learns that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does it take immediate action to protect the inmate? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:

- CoreCivic Sexual Abuse Prevention and Response policy 14-2 *(Effective 11/28/2017)*

Findings:

115.62(a)

Policy 14-2 page 1 states, “When it is learned an inmate/detainee is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, immediate action will be taken to protect the inmate/detainee.”

All 12 random staff, the PREA Compliance Manager, and the warden’s designee indicated they would take immediate action if they learned an inmate was at substantial risk of abuse. Staff reported they would escort the inmate to medical to separate them from the potential perpetrator.

File review for the reporting period did not indicate any instances of an inmate being at risk of imminent sexual abuse.

Corrective Action:

None required

Standard 115.63: Reporting to other confinement facilities
All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.63 (a)
- Upon receiving an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused while confined at another facility, does the head of the facility that received the allegation notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.63 (b)
- Is such notification provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.63 (c)
- Does the agency document that it has provided such notification? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.63 (d)
- Does the facility head or agency office that receives such notification ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with these standards? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
☐ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:
- CoreCivic Sexual Abuse Prevention and Response policy 14-2 (Effective 11/28/2017)

Findings:
115.63(a), (b), (c)
Policy 14-2 page 20 states the warden is responsible to contact the facility where the reported abuse occurred as soon as possible but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation.

The facility provided the documentation from a report made to an external agency during the past year. In that case, the facility investigator proved a report to the facility warden to include notes from a brief interview with the alleged victim. In turn, the warden provided this information to the investigations manager for the Montana Department of Corrections since the alleged conduct was criminal in nature and occurred in a MDOC facility.

115.63(d)

Policy 14-2 page 20 states, “If an allegation is received from another facility, the Warden/Facility Administrator will ensure the allegation is investigated.”

Crossroads Correctional Center reported receiving two reports from other institutions during the reporting period. The investigation reports were provided for review. In both cases, an investigation was initiated in a timely manner.

**Corrective Action:**

None required

### Standard 115.64: Staff first responder duties

**All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report**

#### 115.64 (a)

- Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Separate the alleged victim and abuser? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence? ☒ Yes ☐ No
115.64 (b)

- If the first staff responder is not a security staff member, is the responder required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:

- CoreCivic Sexual Abuse Prevention and Response policy 14-2 (Effective 11/28/2017)

Findings:

115.64(a), (b)

Policy 14-2 page 18 states, “Any employee who discovers or learns of sexual abuse, or an allegation of sexual abuse, shall ensure that the following actions are accomplished:

a. The alleged victim is kept safe, has no contact with the alleged perpetrator, and is immediately escorted to the Health Services Department.”

Crossroads Correctional Center reported eight allegations during the reporting period. In none of the cases was a security staff person the first responder to the incident. Investigation file review showed the first responders followed the facilities coordinated response protocol.

During random staff interviews, staff were all able to articulate the expectations of them if they were to be a first responder to an allegation. In addition, staff is provided a first responder card which outlines their first responder duties to a PREA allegation.

Corrective Action:

None required
Standard 115.65: Coordinated response

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.65 (a)

- Has the facility developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:

- CoreCivic Sexual Abuse Prevention and Response policy 14-2 (Effective 11/28/2017)
- Investigation files
- Sexual Abuse Incident Check Sheet

Findings:

115.65(a)

Policy 14-2 pages 10-12 cover the provisions of this standard. It covers first responder duties, completion of documentation to ensure compliance with all investigative standards, medical response, mental health response, and the victim services coordinator response. The Sexual Abuse Check Sheet utilized in conjunction with each investigation documents the procedures.

In addition, the facility utilizes a Sexual Abuse Response Team (SART) made up of the PREA Compliance Manager, a medical representative, a mental health representative, a security
representative, and the victim services coordinator. This team works together throughout the investigative process to support the alleged victim and ensure compliance with policy.

Corrective Action:
None required

Standard 115.66: Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with abusers

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.66 (a)
- Are both the agency and any other governmental entities responsible for collective bargaining on the agency’s behalf prohibited from entering into or renewing any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limits the agency’s ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any inmates pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.66 (b)
- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:
- CoreCivic Sexual Abuse Prevention and Response policy 14-2 (Effective 11/28/2017)
- Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)
Findings:

115.66(a), (b)

Policy 14-2 (p 27-28) addresses this standard regarding collective bargaining agreements entered by the agency; ensuring the agency is not limited in the removal of staff members involved in inmate sexual abuse.

Crossroads Correctional Center does not utilize collective bargaining so this standard is not applicable.

Corrective Action:

None required

---

**Standard 115.67: Agency protection against retaliation**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.67 (a)

- Has the agency established a policy to protect all inmates and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other inmates or staff? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Has the agency designated which staff members or departments are charged with monitoring retaliation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.67 (b)

- Does the agency employ multiple protection measures, such as housing changes or transfers for inmate victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or inmate abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services for inmates or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.67 (c)

- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of residents or staff who reported the sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff? ☒ Yes ☐ No
Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Act promptly to remedy any such retaliation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor any inmate disciplinary reports? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate housing changes? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate program changes? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor negative performance reviews of staff? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor reassignments of staff? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Does the agency continue such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.67 (d)

In the case of inmates, does such monitoring also include periodic status checks? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.67 (e)

If any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, does the agency take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.67 (f)

Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☒ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☐ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:

- CoreCivic Sexual Abuse Prevention and Response policy 14-2 (Effective 11/28/2017)
- PREA Retaliation Monitoring Report (30/60/90)

Findings:

115.67(a)

Policy 14-2 page 11 states, “The PREA Compliance Manager will: iv. Ensure that thirty/sixty/ninety (30/60/90) day monitoring is conducted by the designated staff following a report of sexual abuse to protect against potential retaliation against inmates/detainees or employees. This shall include periodic status checks of inmates/detainees and review of relevant documentation.”

115.67(b), (c), (d), (e)

Policy 14-2 addresses subsections (c) and (d). The facility utilizes the PREA Retaliation Monitoring Report (30/60/90) to document the process. When an issue presents itself, she takes immediate action to ensure inmates’ safety. Following a meeting with the person who is being monitored, she reports the information to the PREA Compliance Manager.

When asked what retaliation monitoring generally looks like, she stated she looks for isolating behavior, demeanor changes, write ups, job changes, and changes in who they spend time with which covers the requirements of standard (c).

When asked about the frequency in which she has contact with those under monitoring, she stated she generally sees them at least once per week but won’t necessarily talk to them each time. She gave the example of walking to recreation to ensure they are attending and to see who they are hanging out with. She reported talking with them four to five times per month.

When asked about how long retaliation monitoring generally runs, she stated it is generally 90 days but she normally monitors them for longer. She stated she continues to monitor the situation as long as necessary.

During the onsite portion, the auditor recommended the facility document all of these checks outside of the official 30/60/90 day checks.
The PAQ states there were no issues with retaliation during the reporting period.

115.67(f)

This subsection is not listed in policy and has no effect on compliance with the standard.

Corrective Action:

None required

**Standard 115.68: Post-allegation protective custody**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.68 (a)

- Is any and all use of segregated housing to protect an inmate who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse subject to the requirements of § 115.43? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☒ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☐ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:

- CoreCivic Sexual Abuse Prevention and Response policy 14-2 *(Effective 11/28/2017)*

Findings:

115.68(a)

This standard states, “Any use of segregated housing to protect an inmate who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse is subject to the requirements of § 115.43.” Policy 14-2 (p 15) addresses Standard 115.43 (pertaining to inmates at high risk of sexual abuse) and, thus, this standard (pertaining to inmate victims of sexual abuse). Pre-audit documentation stated that
Crossroads Correctional Center does not involuntarily segregate inmates that have alleged sexual abuse.

The PAQ states there were no inmates who had suffered sexual abuse held in involuntary segregated housing. While there is no record of any inmates being held in involuntary segregation due to their risk of being sexually victimized, it is worth noting that every inmate housed in segregation, regardless of reason for placement, are reviewed every seven days. This is an exceptional practice the facility has in place.

Interviews with staff and inmates indicate this information to be accurate. Document review does not show any placements in involuntary segregation. In addition, the facility head reported they would “never” lock up an inmate at high risk of sexual abuse, or who had reported sexual abuse, or subject an inmate to restrictive housing for this reason. That said, it is recommended that the agency and facility establish a method of documenting the requirements of this provision.

Two staff members that work the isolation/segregation unit reported there were no inmates placed in the unit for risk of sexual abuse, to their knowledge, and that inmates had not been involuntarily segregated for that reason. In the office area of the segregation unit is a marker board that lists the reason for each placement within the unit. During the onsite audit, the auditor was in this office on different occasions over two days. Each time, the board was reviewed and there was no indication of any placements of PREA victims either at their request or at the facility’s placement.

Corrective Action:

None required

INVESTIGATIONS

Standard 115.71: Criminal and administrative agency investigations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.71 (a)

- When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? [N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).] ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- Does the agency conduct such investigations for all allegations, including third party and anonymous reports? [N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).] ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.71 (b)
Where sexual abuse is alleged, does the agency use investigators who have received specialized training in sexual abuse investigations as required by 115.34? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.71 (c)

Do investigators gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Do investigators interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Do investigators review prior reports and complaints of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.71 (d)

When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.71 (e)

Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of that individual’s status as inmate or staff? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Does the agency investigate allegations of sexual abuse without requiring an inmate who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.71 (f)

Do administrative investigations include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Are administrative investigations documented in written reports that include a description of the physical evidence and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.71 (g)

Are criminal investigations documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of the physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.71 (h)
- Are all substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal referred for prosecution?
  ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.71 (i)
- Does the agency retain all written reports referenced in 115.71(f) and (g) for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years?
  ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.71 (j)
- Does the agency ensure that the departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the agency does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation?
  ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.71 (k)
- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

115.71 (l)
- When an outside entity investigates sexual abuse, does the facility cooperate with outside investigators and endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation? (N/A if an outside agency does not conduct administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a.).)
  ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination
- ☒ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
- ☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- ☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:
- CoreCivic Sexual Abuse Prevention and Response policy 14-2 (Effective 11/28/2017)
- CoreCivic Sexual Assault Response policy 13-79 (Effective 12/23/2013)
Findings:

115.71(a)

Crossroads Correctional Center has a very thorough policy, 13-79 Sexual Assault Response, which cover all the elements of this provision.

During the interviews with the investigators, they indicated they are notified each time there is an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. Generally, by the time they would be contacted, shift supervisor or captain would take the alleged victim to medical and separate the alleged victim and alleged perpetrator as needed.

115.71(b)

The training records of the administrative PREA Investigator indicate completion of PREA Investigator training in 2015. In addition to completing this training, he has completed the facility PREA training required of all staff on a yearly basis. The criminal PREA Investigator moved into the position working out of Crossroads Correctional Center in March 2018. He completed PREA Investigator training through the MDOC.

115.71(c)

Crossroads Correctional Center has two investigators. There is one facility employee who conducts administrative investigations. The other is a MDOC employee who works out of the facility who can conduct administrative and criminal investigations.

Based on the curriculum of the training courses he has completed, he has been trained above the minimum requirements required by this standard.

115.71(d)

Criminal investigations are conducted by MDOC for both staff on inmate and inmate on inmate criminal allegations. Prior to his assignment at the facility, there had been a disconnect following an allegation being referred for prosecution. The facility had struggled somewhat with being kept informed on the status of prosecutorial efforts. With the new criminal investigator on site, he will be responsible for communicating with the prosecutors and any outside investigators.

115.71(e), (f)

Policy 14-2 page 23 states, “The administrative investigation shall include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse. Such investigations shall be documented on 5-1G Incident Investigation Report via the IRD and shall detail the following components. a. Investigative facts (i.e. specific details about what actually happened); b. Physical evidence (e.g. clothes collected, medical evidence, etc.); c. Testimonial evidence (e.g. witness statements); d. Reasoning behind credibility assessment (i.e. why is the person deemed credible or not credible. Credibility shall be assessed on an individual basis and shall not be determined by the person’s status as an inmate/detainee or employee); e. Investigative
findings (i.e. discovery or outcome of the investigation); and f. Whether actions and/or failures of staff to act contributed to the incident, including an explanation as to what determined the conclusion.”

The interview with the administrative investigator and the review of the investigation files show an unbiased approach to the investigations. There were no indications during the interviews with inmates who had reported that they were not seen as credible based on the fact they were an inmate.

115.71 (g)

Crossroads Correctional Center only recently had a criminal investigator who began working out of their building. For the reporting period, there was no criminal investigation reports generated by the facility for review.

115.71 (h) (i)

Crossroads Correctional Center maintains all documentation pertaining to all allegations and investigations. As part of the documentation review, information from all sexual abuse allegations which occurred in 2017 and 2018 were requested. The facility provided documentation for two inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse allegations and two staff on inmate sexual abuse allegations. These are the only cases that could potentially be criminal. All documentation was promptly produced. In each investigative file, all documentation is in order and information is recorded thoroughly and completely.

115.71(j)

Crossroads Correctional Center follows through with investigations regardless of whether the alleged perpetrator is still employed or under their custody. For the reporting period, there were no allegations to review where the alleged abuser was had left prior to the investigation.

115.71(k)

This substandard does not affect compliance with the standard.

115.71(l)

MDOC conducts the criminal investigations at Crossroads Correctional Center. During investigation file review, there is documentation of communication between the facility and the department.

Policy 14-2 states, “When outside agencies investigate sexual abuse, the facility shall cooperate with outside investigators and shall endeavor to remain informed about the status of the investigation.”

During targeted interviews, it was evident there is a cooperative working relationship between Crossroads Correctional Center staff and Montana Department of Corrections staff.
The transition of a MDOC criminal investigator working onsite will assist the facility with keeping lines of communication open during potentially criminal investigations.

**Corrective Action:**
None required

**Standard 115.72: Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.72 (a)

- Is it true that the agency does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

**Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

**The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:**

- CoreCivic Sexual Abuse Prevention and Response policy 14-2 *(Effective 11/28/2017)*
- Interviews with investigative staff
- Investigation file review

**Findings:**

115.72(a)

Policy 14-2 page 25 states, “In any sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigation in which the facility is the primary investigating entity, the facility shall utilize a preponderance of the evidence standard for determining whether sexual abuse or sexual harassment has taken place.”
The interviews with the investigators revealed appropriate knowledge as far as the definitions of each of the investigative findings. In addition, investigation files for the reporting period were reviewed. The findings in each of the investigations were appropriate based on the information uncovered during the investigation.

Corrective Action:

None required

**Standard 115.73: Reporting to inmates**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.73 (a)

- Following an investigation into an inmate’s allegation that he or she suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency inform the inmate as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.73 (b)

- If the agency did not conduct the investigation into an inmate’s allegation of sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the inmate? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.73 (c)

- Following an inmate’s allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer posted within the inmate’s unit? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Following an inmate’s allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer employed at the facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Following an inmate’s allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Following an inmate’s allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No
115.73 (d)

- Following an inmate’s allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Following an inmate’s allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.73 (e)

- Does the agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.73 (f)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:

- CoreCivic Sexual Abuse Prevention and Response policy 14-2 (Effective 11/28/2017)
- Inmate/Detainee PREA Allegation Status Notification form
- Pre-Audit Questionnaire

Findings:

115.73(a)
Policy 14-2 page 25 states, “Following an investigation into an inmate/detainee’s allegation that he/she suffered sexual abuse at the facility, the inmate/detainee shall be informed as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded. If the facility did not conduct the investigation, the relevant information shall be requested from the outside investigating agency or entity in order to inform the inmate/detainee.”

According to the PAQ, the facility had 8 sexual abuse/sexual harassment allegations within the past 12 months. It is indicated in the questionnaire that none victims from these investigations were notified of the finding of the investigation.

All investigation files were reviewed for the reporting period. In all cases except one, the notification had been completed with the victim in the case. The one which wasn’t completed was a relatively recent case and the notification was completed while the auditor was onsite.

115.73(b)

According to the PAQ, there was 1 investigation conducted by an external agency in the past 12 months.

Review of investigation files shows one investigation completed by an external agency. All documentation is accounted for in the case.

115.73(c)

Policy 14-2 page 25 states, “Following an inmate/detainee’s allegation that an employee has committed sexual abuse against the inmate/detainee, the facility shall subsequently inform the inmate/detainee (unless the facility has determined the allegation is unfounded) whenever: a. The employee is no longer posted within the inmate/detainee’s unit as a result of the findings of the investigation; b. The employee is no longer employed at the facility as a result of the allegation; c. The facility learns that the employee has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within a facility; or d. The facility learns that the employee has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility.”

Based on review of investigation files, there have been two allegations of sexual abuse against an inmate by a staff member in the past 12 months. The findings of these cases were substantiated. Copies of the status notification sheets for these three cases were requested from the facility. In all three cases, the investigation status sheet was reviewed with the alleged victim.

115.73(d)

Policy 14-2 page 25 states, “Following an inmate/detainee’s allegation that he/she has been sexually abuse by another inmate/detainee, the facility shall subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: a. The facility learned that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility; or b. The facility learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility.
Based on the review of investigation files, there have not been any investigations that have been prosecuted so this standard would be not applicable. If there would be a case that would be prosecuted, the Inmate/Detainee PREA Allegation Status Notification form contains the information required by this standard and would be utilized for notifications.

115.73(e)

Policy 14-2 states, “All inmate/detainee notifications or attempted notifications shall be documented on the 14-2E Inmate Allegation Status Notification. The inmate/detainee shall sign the 14-2E Inmate Allegation Status Notification, verifying that such notification has been received. The signed 14-2E Inmate Allegation Status Notification shall be filed in the inmate/detainee’s institutional file.”

Crossroads Correctional Center reported through the PAQ that 0 notifications were made and documented within the past 12 months. Review of all investigative files showed that all but one notification were made in accordance with agency policy and this standard. The notification that had not been made was for a recent unfounded allegation. The final notification was made while the auditor was onsite and documentation was reviewed.

Corrective Action:

None required

**DISCIPLINE**

**Standard 115.76: Disciplinary sanctions for staff**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>115.76 (a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Are staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies? ☒ Yes ☐ No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>115.76 (b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Is termination the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>115.76 (c)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Are disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member’s disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories? ☒ Yes ☐ No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
115.76(d)

- Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Relevant licensing bodies? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:

- CoreCivic Sexual Abuse Prevention and Response policy 14-2 *(Effective 11/28/2017)*
- Investigation Records and Documentation

Findings:

115.76(a)

Policy 14-2 asserts that employees are subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating CoreCivic’s sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies.

115.76(b)

Policy 14-2 stated that termination shall be the presumptive disciplinary sanction for employees who have engaged in sexual abuse.

Crossroads Correctional Center reported they had one violation of the sexual abuse/sexual harassment policy within the past twelve months. Investigation file review shows a substantiated allegation of sexual abuse against a staff person.

115.76(c)
Policy 14-2 states, “Disciplinary sanctions for violations of CoreCivic policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) shall be commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the employee’s disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other employees with comparable histories.”

Crossroads Correctional Center reported they have had one violation of the agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment policy by staff within the past 12 months. Investigation file review seems to confirm there was one allegation. The staff person involved was terminated.

115.76(d)

Policy 14-2 asserts that all terminations for violations of CoreCivic sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by employees who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, shall be reported to law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal, and to any relevant licensing bodies.

Crossroads Correctional Center reported they have had one violation of the agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment policy by staff within the past 12 months. Investigation file review confirms there was one allegations. This case was referred to prosecutors. At the time of this writing, the case was pending.

Corrective Action:

None required

**Standard 115.77: Corrective action for contractors and volunteers**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.77 (a)

- Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse prohibited from contact with inmates? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Relevant licensing bodies? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.77 (b)

- In the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer, does the facility take appropriate remedial measures, and consider whether to prohibit further contact with inmates? ☒ Yes ☐ No
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:

- CoreCivic Sexual Abuse Prevention and Response policy 14-2 *(Effective 11/28/2017)*
- Investigation Records and Documentation

Findings:

115.77(a)

Policy 14-2 asserts that any civilian or contractor who engages in sexual abuse shall be prohibited from contact with inmates/detainees and shall be reported to law enforcement agencies and to any relevant licensing body.

Crossroads Correctional Center reported no investigations into violations of the sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by civilians or contractors. Investigation file review seems to confirm there are not any unaccounted-for allegations. As a result, there have been no reports made to law enforcement or relevant licensing bodies.

115.77(b)

Policy 14-2 states that any other violation of CoreCivic sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a civilian or contractor will result in further prohibitions.

Crossroads Correctional Center reported no investigations into violations of the sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by civilians or contractors. Investigation file review seems to confirm there are not any unaccounted-for allegations. As a result, there has been no need for remedial measures. However, the policy and procedure in place is compliant with this standard.

Corrective Action:
Standard 115.78: Disciplinary sanctions for inmates

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.78 (a)
- Following an administrative finding that an inmate engaged in inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, or following a criminal finding of guilt for inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, are inmates subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.78 (b)
- Are sanctions commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the inmate’s disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other inmates with similar histories? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.78 (c)
- When determining what types of sanction, if any, should be imposed, does the disciplinary process consider whether an inmate’s mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.78 (d)
- If the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse, does the facility consider whether to require the offending inmate to participate in such interventions as a condition of access to programming and other benefits? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.78 (e)
- Does the agency discipline an inmate for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.78 (f)
- For the purpose of disciplinary action does a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred NOT constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation? ☒ Yes ☐ No
Does the agency always refrain from considering non-coercive sexual activity between inmates to be sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not prohibit all sexual activity between inmates.)
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:

- CoreCivic Sexual Abuse Prevention and Response policy 14-2 (Effective 11/28/2017)

Findings:

115.78(a)

Policy 14-2 states, “All inmates/detainees found guilty of sexual abuse shall be institutionally disciplined in accordance with facility disciplinary procedures. Because the burden of proof is substantially easier to prove in an inmate/detainee’s disciplinary case than in a criminal prosecution, an inmate/detainee may be institutionally disciplined even though law enforcement officials decline to prosecute.”

The PAQ reported there has been one unsubstantiated case of inmate in inmate sexual abuse during the past 12 months. Investigation file review confirms there have been no substantiated inmate on inmate sexual abuse cases.

115.78(b)

Policy 14-2 states that sanctions are commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the inmate/detainee’s disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other inmates/detainees with similar histories.
The PAQ reported there has been one unsubstantiated case of inmate in inmate sexual abuse during the past 12 months. Investigation file review confirms there have been no substantiated inmate on inmate sexual abuse cases.

115.78(c)

Policy 14-2 states that the disciplinary process shall consider whether an inmate’s mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his/her behavior when determining what type of sanction, if any, should be imposed.

The PAQ reported there has been one unsubstantiated case of inmate in inmate sexual abuse during the past 12 months. Investigation file review confirms there have been no substantiated inmate on inmate sexual abuse cases.

115.78(d)

Policy 14-2 cites this provision stating, “If the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse, the facility shall consider whether to require the alleged perpetrator to participate in such interventions as a condition of access to programming or other benefits.”

File review shows consistent utilization of behavioral health staff in investigations. During an interview with behavioral health staff, it was reported they will help the perpetrator through the disciplinary process for PREA offenses.

115.78(e)

Policy 14-2 asserts that inmates may be disciplined for sexual conduct with an employee only upon finding that the employee did not consent to such contact.

Crossroads Correctional Center has had one substantiated sexual abuse allegation between staff and inmates during the past 12 months. The investigation clearly shows the staff person was a willing participant in the behavior and was terminated.

115.78(f)

Policy 14-2 states, “Inmates/detainees who deliberately allege false claims of sexual abuse can be disciplined. The Warden/Facility Administrator or designee should contact law enforcement to determine if a deliberately false accusation may be referred for prosecution.”

Crossroads Correctional Center has not had any allegations that were deemed to have been made in bad faith during the past 12 months.

115.78 (g)

Policy 14-2 asserts that sexual activity between inmates is prohibited and that discipline is issued for such activity. The policy also states that such activity will not be deemed sexual abuse if it is determined the activity is not coerced.
Corrective Action:
None required

# MEDICAL AND MENTAL CARE

## Standard 115.81: Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

### 115.81 (a)
- If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison.)
  - Yes ☒
  - No ☐
  - NA ☐

### 115.81 (b)
- If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison.)
  - Yes ☒
  - No ☐
  - NA ☐

### 115.81 (c)
- If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a jail inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening?
  - Yes ☒
  - No ☐

### 115.81 (d)
- Is any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners and other staff as necessary to inform treatment plans and security management decisions, including housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments, or as otherwise required by Federal, State, or local law?
  - Yes ☒
  - No ☐

### 115.81 (e)
Do medical and mental health practitioners obtain informed consent from inmates before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting, unless the inmate is under the age of 18? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☒ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☐ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:

- CoreCivic Sexual Abuse Prevention and Response policy 14-2 (Effective 11/28/2017)
- Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)
- Random PREA Sexual Victim/Sexual Aggressor Classification Screening reviews
- Medical and Mental Health Documentation
- CoreCivic Mental Health Services policy 13-61 (Effective 07/15/2016)
- CoreCivic Privacy of Protected Health Information 13-74 (Effective 10/26/2009)

Findings:

115.81(a)

Policy 14-2 states the following: Inmates/detainees identified during the intake screening as at risk for sexual victimization with a history of prior sexual victimization whether it occurred in an institutional setting or the community are assessed by mental health or other qualified professional within fourteen (14) days of the intake screening. Inmates at risk for sexual victimization will be identified, monitored, and counseled.

Based on documentation received in the PAQ documentation, additional requested assessments, and an interview with behavioral health staff, the facility exceeds standards for behavioral health care of potential victims.

115.81(b)

Policy 14-2 states the following: Inmates/detainees identified during the intake screening as high risk with a history of sexually assaultive behavior, whether it occurred in an institutional
setting or in the community, shall be offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health or other qualified professional within 14 days of the intake. Inmates with a history of sexually assaultive behavior will be identified, monitored, and counseled.

Based on documentation received pre-audit, additional requested assessments, and an interview with behavioral health staff, the facility exceeds standards for behavioral health care of potential aggressors.

115.81(c)

Crossroads Correctional Center is a prison, not a jail. This provision is not applicable.

115.81(d)

Policy 13-74 Privacy of Protected Health Information states, “Protected health information (PHI), whether in the form of prescription, medical chart (hard copy of electronic), vital signs or conversations between a patient inmate/resident and a health care provider, is strictly confidential and may be disclosed only within the procedures set out in this policy. Health Services Staff will share with other correctional staff members’ only information that has a potential impact on classification, institutional security, ability of the patient inmate/resident to participate in programs or other facility activity or for the health and safety of the patient inmate/resident or others. Only the “minimum necessary” protected health information will be disclosed to correctional staff to satisfy the particular instance or circumstance.”

Policy 13-61 Mental Health Services states: Mental health appraisals will be conducted for all intersystem inmate/resident patients by a qualified mental health professional within fourteen (14) days of admission to the facility as follows: a. Prisons and ACI Accredited Facilities b. Jails, Detention Facilities, and ALDF Accredited Facilities.

At a minimum, the comprehensive mental health evaluation will include the following components and will be documented on the 13-61A Comprehensive Mental Health Evaluation or other contractually required equivalent form k. Review of history of sexual abuse-victimization and predatory behavior…

115.81(e)

Policy 14-2 cites this provision stating, “Unless otherwise precluded by federal, state, or local law, medical and mental health professionals shall be required to follow reporting procedures outlined above in L.2.a. At the initiation of providing medical care, both medical and mental health professionals will inform inmates/detainees of their professional duty to report and the limitations of confidentiality. Medical and mental health practitioners shall obtain informed consent from inmates/detainees before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting unless the inmate/detainee is under the age of 18.”

**Corrective Action:**

None required
Standard 115.82: Access to emergency medical and mental health services

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.82 (a)

- Do inmate victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.82 (b)

- If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent sexual abuse is made, do security staff first responders take preliminary steps to protect the victim pursuant to § 115.62? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Do security staff first responders immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health practitioners? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.82 (c)

- Are inmate victims of sexual abuse offered timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.82 (d)

- Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does
not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:

- CoreCivic Sexual Abuse Prevention and Response policy 14-2 (Effective 11/28/2017)
- CoreCivic Sexual Assault Response policy 13-79 (Effective 12/23/2013)
- MOU between Hi-Line Help for Abused Spouses and CoreCivic
- Rape/Sexual Assault Protocol form

Findings:

115.82(a)

Sexual Assault Response Policy 13-79 (p 1) cites this standard; mandating that inmates have access to timely and unimpeded emergency medical services and crisis intervention. Crossroads Correctional Center offers round-the-clock inmate medical services. Inmates can also be transported to one of the local hospitals for emergency medical services including forensic exam. Crisis intervention services are provided via an MOU with Hi-Line Help for Abused Spouses.

Review of investigative records and documentation verified that the facility there has not been any cases which would require a medical forensic examination. Medical staff who was interviewed were able to articulate what the process would be in a case where an exam was warranted.

115.82(b)

Policy that addresses this specific provision was not provided but was evidenced in practice.

Evidence of this practice was reviewed in investigative records and documentation. Immediate and preliminary steps were taken by first responders to ensure inmate safety. Immediate notifications were made to the PREA compliance manager and subsequent notifications in accordance with agency policy and the coordinated response. This process was well documented on the incident reports, PREA Response Checklist, Sexual Assault Report, and Serious Incident Database Report.

115.82(c)

Policy 13-79 (p 3) addresses this provision; testing and prophylaxis. This policy provides detailed guidance on timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections (STI) prophylaxis. Initial STI testing is performed as part of the forensic exam and then subsequent testing is performed at the facility per doctor’s orders.

115.82(d)
Policy 13-79 (p 1) asserts that treatment services are provided without cost to the inmate. CoreCivic’s PREA pamphlet informs inmates that fees for medical services related to sexual misconduct are waived.

Corrective Action:
None required

Standard 115.83: Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.83 (a)
- Does the facility offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all inmates who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.83 (b)
- Does the evaluation and treatment of such victims include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.83 (c)
- Does the facility provide such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.83 (d)
- Are inmate victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated offered pregnancy tests? (N/A if all-male facility.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

115.83 (e)
- If pregnancy results from the conduct described in paragraph § 115.83(d), do such victims receive timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services? (N/A if all-male facility.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

115.83 (f)
- Are inmate victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate? ☒ Yes ☐ No
115.83 (g)

- Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?
  ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.83 (h)

- If the facility is a prison, does it attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known inmate-on-inmate abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners? (NA if the facility is a jail.)
  ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:

- CoreCivic Sexual Abuse Prevention and Response policy 14-2 (Effective 11/28/2017)
- CoreCivic Sexual Assault Response policy 13-79 (Effective 12/23/2013)
- Sexual Abuse Screening Tool/Comprehensive Mental Health Evaluation forms
- Rape/Sexual Assault Protocol
- MOU between Hi-Line Help for Abused Spouses and CoreCivic

Findings:

115.83(a), (b), (f)

Sexual Assault Response Policy 13-79 outlines emergency medical and mental health treatment.

In practice, ongoing medical services are generally provided by facility medical mental health staff. Often, crisis intervention and emotional support is provided by facility mental health staff.
as well, but this is also established via an MOU with the Hi-Line Help for Abused Spouses. The MOU outlines these services.

115.83(c)

Sexual Assault Response Policy 13-79 (p 1) mandates a level of medical and mental health care consistent with a community level of care.

As previously indicated, medical and mental health services are generally provided onsite. Policy and document review indicate that these services will be provided by qualified mental health professionals. Completed Sexual Assault Check Sheet forms documented the offering of advocacy services.

Medical and mental health staff were interviewed and indicated that the level of care available to inmates likely exceeds that of the community due to the proximity and availability of medical and mental health staff. Review of documentation included medical and mental health documentation.

115.83(d), (e)

These provisions are not applicable since Crossroads Correctional Center is an all-male facility.

115.83 (g)

Sexual Assault Response Policy 13-79 (p 1) asserts that treatment services are provided without cost to the inmate. The CoreCivic PREA pamphlet notifies the inmates of this requirement.

115.83 (h)

Sexual Assault Response Policy 13-79 (p 4) cites this provision regarding the evaluation of known inmate abusers but also states that if the inmate refuses this mental health evaluation, it shall be documented on the 13-49B Refusal to Accept Medical Treatment and then placed in the inmate’s file. No such documentation was available for review.

Corrective Action:

None required

DATA COLLECTION AND REVIEW

Standard 115.86: Sexual abuse incident reviews

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.86 (a)
• Does the facility conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.86 (b)

• Does such review ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.86 (c)

• Does the review team include upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.86 (d)

• Does the review team: Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

• Does the review team: Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; gang affiliation; or other group dynamics at the facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

• Does the review team: Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

• Does the review team: Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts? ☒ Yes ☐ No

• Does the review team: Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff? ☒ Yes ☐ No

• Does the review team: Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to §§ 115.86(d)(1) - (d)(5), and any recommendations for improvement and submit such report to the facility head and PREA compliance manager? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.86 (e)

• Does the facility implement the recommendations for improvement, or document its reasons for not doing so? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*
☐   **Does Not Meet Standard** *(Requires Corrective Action)*

**Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:

- CoreCivic Sexual Abuse Prevention and Response policy 14-2 *(Effective 11/28/2017)*
- Sexual Abuse or Assault Incident Review Form

**Findings:**

115.86(a), (b)

Policy 14-2 (p22-23) addresses post investigation review. It cites this provision mandating a review of substantiated and unsubstantiated sexual abuse allegations. Policy charges the warden with the responsibility of ensuring this is accomplished. This process is documented on the Sexual Abuse or Assault Incident Review Form. These reviews were noted consistently during the review of investigative records and documentation.

Policy 14-2 requires these reviews to be completed ordinarily within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation. Documentation review, of the Sexual Abuse or Assault Incident Review Form, verified this to be an established practice.

115.86(c), (d), (e)

Sexual Abuse or Assault Incident Reviews capture the case number, type of allegation, review team members, an assessment of the location of the incident, an assessment of the motivation for the incident, staffing levels in the area, the need for deploying or augmenting monitoring technology, need for changes to policy or practice, recommendations and timeframe for implementing, and reasons for not implementing recommendations (if applicable). Review of completed reviews indicated that the PREA coordinator and PREA compliance manger were part of the review and the document was signed by the facility head.

The PREA Compliance Manager was interviewed as a review team member and explained the review process; looking for changes that need to be made to prevent such incidents from occurring. They discuss possible patterns and also involves communication with the SART team. The facility head discussed the purpose of such reviews being to uncover any possible adjustments needed to procedures.

**Corrective Action:**
None required

## Standard 115.87: Data collection

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

### 115.87 (a)
- Does the agency collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions? ☒ Yes ☐ No

### 115.87 (b)
- Does the agency aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually? ☒ Yes ☐ No

### 115.87 (c)
- Does the incident-based data include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice? ☒ Yes ☐ No

### 115.87 (d)
- Does the agency maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews? ☒ Yes ☐ No

### 115.87 (e)
- Does the agency also obtain incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its inmates? (N/A if agency does not contract for the confinement of its inmates.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

### 115.87 (f)
- Does the agency, upon request, provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30? (N/A if DOJ has not requested agency data.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

### Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- ☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*
- ☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*
Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:

- CoreCivic Sexual Abuse Prevention and Response policy 14-2 *(Effective 11/28/2017)*
- 2016 PREA Annual Report
- 2016 Survey of Sexual Victimization (SSV)

Findings:

115.87(a), (b), (c), (d)

Policy 14-2 (p 28) addresses the collection and aggregation of sexual abuse data as well as specifying that it shall include, at minimum, all categories of data necessary to respond to the Survey of Sexual Victimization (SSV).

This data was collected, and was reviewed. It indicates whether the incident is: inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment, inmate-on-inmate nonconsensual sexual act, inmate-on-inmate abusive sexual contact, staff sexual misconduct, or staff sexual harassment. These categories comprise what is necessary to complete the SSV. The Sexual Abuse or Assault Incident Review document captures the same categories. The most recent completed Survey of Sexual Victimization (2016) was provided for review as well. The 2017 SSV was not provided because the form has not yet been released for completion.

The PREA coordinator collects and aggregates all department sexual abuse and sexual harassment data on an ongoing basis.

115.87(e)

Crossroads Correctional Center does not contract for housing of any of their inmates so this substandard is not applicable.

115.87(f)

This provision has no bearing on compliance since the Department of Justice has not requested sexual abuse data.

Corrective Action:

None required
Standard 115.88: Data review for corrective action

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.88 (a)

- Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Identifying problem areas? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

- Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

- Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

115.88 (b)

- Does the agency’s annual report include a comparison of the current year’s data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provide an assessment of the agency’s progress in addressing sexual abuse ☒ Yes  ☐ No

115.88 (c)

- Is the agency’s annual report approved by the agency head and made readily available to the public through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

115.88 (d)

- Does the agency indicate the nature of the material redacted where it redacts specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- ☒ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

- ☐ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

- ☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
**Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

**The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:**

- CoreCivic Sexual Abuse Prevention and Response policy 14-2 (*Effective 11/28/2017*)
- CoreCivic 2016 PREA Annual Report
- CoreCivic Website

**Findings:**

115.88(a), (b), (c)

Policy 14-2 (p 29) addresses data review pursuant to this standard; identifying problem areas, taking corrective action, and preparing an annual report.

The auditor was provided with the CoreCivic’s 2016 PREA Annual Report. The report contains information on the scope of the report, definitions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment (as defined in the PREA Standards), data collection methods and efforts, audits completed, corrective action taken, and data comparison between 2014, 2015, and 2016. It is a detailed report that contains detailed data; breaking it down by facility type (prisons/jails and community confinement) and then by incident type (staff-inmate sexual abuse, staff-inmate sexual harassment, inmate-inmate sexual abuse, inmate-inmate sexual harassment) and then by case disposition (substantiated, unsubstantiated, unfounded). The report includes narrative portions explaining agency PREA efforts and the collection, review, and trends in data. The report also depicts trends in substantiated incidents; showing a minor increase from 2014 to 2015 and again from 2015 to 2016. The detail, data, and information contained in this report exceeds this standard.

The report was created by the CoreCivic PREA coordinator. The first page and summary of the 2016 PREA Annual Report contains the signature of the executive vice president and chief corrections officer; Harley G. Lappin.


The agency head designee, Steven Conry, elaborated on the agency’s use of sexual abuse and sexual harassment data to continually improve PREA efforts. He further explained the type of data that is collected; expressing familiarity with the annual report data, and the agency’s data-driven approach; detecting trends and using that to identify needed corrective action.

115.88(d)
Policy 14-2 (p 29) cites this provision, stating the agency may redact specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility, but must indicate the nature of the material redacted. The PREA coordinator indicated that it has not yet been necessary to redact information from the annual reports.

**Corrective Action:**

None required

### Standard 115.89: Data storage, publication, and destruction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>115.89 (a)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.87 are securely retained? ☒ Yes ☐ No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>115.89 (b)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Does the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means? ☒ Yes ☐ No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>115.89 (c)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Does the agency remove all personal identifiers before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available? ☒ Yes ☐ No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>115.89 (d)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Does the agency maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.87 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection, unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise? ☒ Yes ☐ No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

☐ **Exceeds Standard** *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ **Meets Standard** *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ **Does Not Meet Standard** *(Requires Corrective Action)*

**Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**
The following evidence was analyzed in making the compliance determination:

- CoreCivic Sexual Abuse Prevention and Response policy 14-2 (Effective 11/28/2017)
- CoreCivic Website

Findings:

115.89(a)

Policy 14-2 (p 28) states, “Data collected for this purpose shall be securely stored and retained in accordance with the facility’s record retention policies.”

115.89(b)

Policy 14-2 (p 29) states, “The FSC PREA Coordinator shall make all aggregated sexual abuse data available to the public at least annually through the CoreCivic website.” Review of the CoreCivic website affirmed the availability of the sexual abuse data and the data was made available annually as evidenced by the PREA Annual Reports from 2013-2017.

115.89(c)

Policy 14-2 (p 29) states, “Before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available, CoreCivic shall remove all personal identifiers.”

Review of the data on the MDOC website revealed no personal identifiers.

Review of the data on the CoreCivic website revealed no personal identifiers.

115.89(d)

Policy 14-2 (p 28) states, “Data collected for this purpose shall be securely stored and retained in accordance with CoreCivic Policy 1-15 Retention of Records.” The PAQ indicated that CoreCivic retains data for at least 10 years, in accordance to this provision.

Corrective Action:

None required
All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.401 (a)  
- During the prior three-year audit period, did the agency ensure that each facility operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited at least once?  
  *(Note: The response here is purely informational. A "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.)* ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.401 (b)  
- Is this the first year of the current audit cycle?  
  *(Note: a “no” response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.)* ☐ Yes ☒ No

- If this is the second year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least one-third of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited during the first year of the current audit cycle?  
  *(N/A if this is not the second year of the current audit cycle.)* ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- If this is the third year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least two-thirds of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, were audited during the first two years of the current audit cycle?  
  *(N/A if this is not the third year of the current audit cycle.)* ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

115.401 (h)  
- Did the auditor have access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the audited facility?  
  ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.401 (i)  
- Was the auditor permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents (including electronically stored information)?  
  ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.401 (m)  
- Was the auditor permitted to conduct private interviews with inmates, residents, and detainees?  
  ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.401 (n)  
- Were inmates permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel?  
  ☒ Yes ☐ No

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**
☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Through discussions with the PREA coordinator, the auditor learned that the agency, CoreCivic, ensures that one-third of their facilities are audited each year and the PREA coordinator is charged with this responsibility. The PREA coordinator and auditor discussed some logistics and challenges related to making this happen.

The auditor observed all areas of the facility, which included all areas in which the auditor requested to see. The agency and facility were very accommodating with all documentation requests. Interviews were conducted in private settings; without being heard by others. All information obtained and observed by the auditor supported the fact that inmates were permitted to send confidential correspondence to the auditor. One piece of correspondence was received.

Standard 115.403: Audit contents and findings

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.403 (f)

- The agency has published on its agency website, if it has one, or has otherwise made publicly available, all Final Audit Reports within 90 days of issuance by auditor. The review period is for prior audits completed during the past three years PRECEDING THIS AGENCY AUDIT. In the case of single facility agencies, the auditor shall ensure that the facility’s last audit report was published. The pendency of any agency appeal pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 115.405 does not excuse noncompliance with this provision. (N/A if there have been no Final Audit Reports issued in the past three years, or in the case of single facility agencies that there has never been a Final Audit Report issued.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*
- **Meets Standard** *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

- **Does Not Meet Standard** *(Requires Corrective Action)*

**Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Final PREA audit reports on facility website.
AUDITOR CERTIFICATION

I certify that:

☒ The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge.

☒ No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review, and

☒ I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any inmate or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template.

Auditor Instructions:

Type your full name in the text box below for Auditor Signature. This will function as your official electronic signature. Auditors must deliver their final report to the PREA Resource Center as a searchable PDF format to ensure accessibility to people with disabilities. Save this report document into a PDF format prior to submission.1 Auditors are not permitted to submit audit reports that have been scanned.2 See the PREA Auditor Handbook for a full discussion of audit report formatting requirements.

Maren Arbach_________________________ 09/07/2018________

Auditor Signature  Date

---

1 See additional instructions here: https://support.office.com/en-us/article/Save-or-convert-to-PDF-d85416c5-7d77-4fd6-a216-6f4bf7c7c110.